

University of Nottingham

# The UK Productivity Problem: Some Standards Solutions

## **Richard Kneller & Cher Li**

**BSI Conference, 23 April 2020** 



- > Question: Can standards reduce the UK productivity problems?
- Academic/policy answer: we know some of the ways it might, but no robust evidence exists as to if it does?
- Lens: a research collaboration between academia-BSI-business to answer that question
- > For you: is our approach on the right lines, what are we missing

- Productivity Outcomes of Workplace Practice, Engagement and Learning (PROPEL): £1.9 million over 3 years, beginning January 2020
- Collaboration between Strathclyde, Nottingham, Aston, Sheffield, East Anglia, Ulster, Cardiff Universities
- > Non-academic partners: BSI, CIPD, LEPs etc.

## PROPEL Hub's vision is:

- Knowledge exchange (academia, BSI, LEPs, local business networks, policy bodies, Be the Business, etc.)
- Randomised Control Trials (RCTs) can answer accurately what the effects of standards on productivity are



UK has multiple productivity problems:

- 1. Lower productivity than major competitors
- 2. Regional differences in productivity



## You get out what you put in?

Gross Value Added per hour worked in UK city regions in 2014, as a % of UK average



Source: ONS, Sub-Regional Productivity: March 2016, Fig. 3



#### University of Nottingham UK | CHINA | MALAYSIA Micro to Macro



University of Nottingham UK | CHINA | MALAYSIA

## **3 Broad Explanations**



## **3 explanations**

- 1. We don't have the right Industries
- 2. Our firms are not productive
- 3. Our
  - productive firms are too small

#### University of Nottingham UK | CHINA | MALAYSIA

## **The Wrong Sectors?**

	GVA per emp	England emp share
Agri.	16,468	0.6
Manuf.	47,108	10.0
Whole&Ret	29,822	18.7
Transp.	27,868	9.2
FIRE	61,521	16.6
Gov	24,249	29.7



- Can be important (e.g. London, Aberdeen)
- But structural change takes a long time to appear
- Almost all productivity growth occurs within industries



# **Productivity Dispersion**



- Productivity differences between businesses are big!
- *Superstars*: often MNEs, R&D intensive, strong management, skill intensive
- *National Champs*: Importexport, technology adopters, sound management
- *Laggards*: serve domestic market, weak management, late adopters of technology



**University of** 

# **Productivity Policy**

## **Firms More Productive**

- Creation and adoption of new technologies
- Management Practice and Organisation
- Efficient use of these technologies

## **Productive Firms Grow**

- Reallocation of market shares to better firms
- That better firms have opportunity & finance to survive and grow
- Entry of better firms



## **Technical Standards: Risk-reduction, Productivity, Growth**

From basic science and research to commercialisation: Role of infratechnology in crossing the "valley of death"

University of Nottingham

CHINA | MALAYSIA



## Participation in 190 SDOs & Business Risks (VaR, Volatility)



**University** of

> Companies that participate in developing ICT standards (as members of SDOs) have substantially lower risks for investors (measured by value at risk/VaR or returns volatility).

Source: Girma and Li (2020) The Causal Impact of ICT Standards Development and Business Risks: Evidence from Top 2000 Global R&D Performers

- Standards and Industrial Revolutions: 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>
- Modularity and complex technology systems
- Enabling efficiency in a range of functions: calibration of equipment, material characterization, harmonisation of data interfaces, consummation of transactions
- Supply side: specialisation and distributed R&D in high-tech global supply chains
- Demand side: economies of scale and scope; efficiency gains; scaling up product markets
- Infra-technology embodied in standards (Link and Tassey, 2012)

University of Nottingham uk | China | Malaysia

# **Productivity Policy: Role of Standards**

## **Firms More Productive**

- Creation and adoption of new technologies
- Management Practice and Organisation
- Efficient use of these technologies





A new productivity-growth strategy: investments in productivity-enhancing assets and technology infrastructure; integration-based economic infrastructure



Rebound Post Covid-19: Global GDP Growth Recovery Scenarios: V, U, W, L, Swoosh

### V-shaped recovery

University of Nottingham

UK | CHINA | MALAYSIA

Global GDP growth, actual (2019) and forecasts (2020 and after) by N

#### QUARTER ON QUARTER



# •••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• ••• •• ••• </tabr>

## "U"-shaped recovery

2020 real GDP growth forecasts for a "base case" scenario by ING, QoQ% annualised







Source: NatWest Markets: Ritvik Carvalho | REUTERS GRAPHICS

# "In the midst of every crisis, lies great opportunity"

- The future isn't what it used to be: need a new plan to deliver growth and productivity
- Re-allocation of resources to more productive use is key to recovery (low opportunity costs)
- Intertemporal substitution: how to recoup lost output
- > A unique opportunity to adapt the set of rules to achieve productivity gains:
  - Catalyst for ICT adoption: costs lowered for doing business in some areas
  - Rethinking management practices: WFH (less susceptible to inefficiencies/disruption/system failure in transport infrastructure; improving work-life balance; reducing office fixed costs)
    - Bloom et al. (2015): experiment at Ctrip (largest travel agency in China): c.a.
       20-30% productivity gains from WFH
  - Managerial maturity & digital adoption



# **Getting involved with PROPEL Hub**

**Richard.Kneller@Nottingham.ac.uk (School of Economics, Nottingham University)** 

**Cher.Li@Nottingham.ac.uk (Nottingham University Business School)** 

LinkedIn; Twitter: @CherLi\_econ



- The role of standards in restoring and enhancing productivity: what's the next normal?
- Barriers to standards adoption: management standards vs. technology/interface standards
- > Evaluation problem: performance measures, metrics