

**BS 0:2021**



**BSI Standards Publication**

# **A standard for standards — Principles of standardization**

**bsi.**

**Publishing and copyright information**

The BSI copyright notice displayed in this document indicates when the document was last issued.

© The British Standards Institution 2021

Published by BSI Standards Limited 2021

ISBN 978 0 539 13467 4

ICS 01.120

The following BSI references relate to the work on this document:

Committee reference SPSC/-/3

Draft for comment 20/30415946 DC

**Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication**

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

---

# Contents

	Page
<b>Foreword</b>	<b>iii</b>
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms	1
4 Principles of standardization	6
4.1 Context and purpose	6
4.2 The National Standards Body	8
4.3 Application of standards	8
4.4 Transparency	9
4.5 Disclosure of documents to nominating organizations and employers	10
5 Development of British Standards	10
5.1 Principles	10
<i>Figure 1 — Stages of standards development, publication and maintenance</i>	10
5.2 New work	11
5.3 International standardization	11
5.4 European standardization	12
5.5 Common features of international and European standardization	12
5.6 UK participation in international and European standardization	13
5.7 British Standards of UK origin	14
5.8 Consultation – Draft for public comment	14
6 Publishing and maintaining standards	15
6.1 Publication	15
6.2 Maintenance	15
7 BSI committees	17
7.1 Principles	17
7.2 Committee constitution	17
7.3 Nominating organizations	18
7.4 Co-option for specific tasks	19
7.5 Decision making	20
7.6 Minutes	22
7.7 Disputes	22
7.8 Legal issues	22
7.9 Confidentiality and external communications	22
7.10 Delegation of responsibilities	23
7.11 Inter-committee liaison	23
8 Roles and responsibilities	23
8.1 BSI	23
8.2 BSI employees	24
8.3 Conduct	24
8.4 Committee members	24
8.5 Committee chairs	25
8.6 Committee managers	27
8.7 Additional roles and responsibilities for British Standards of UK origin	27
9 Content and drafting of standards	29
9.1 Principles	29
9.2 Relationship with the law	29
9.3 Drafting	30
9.4 Types of standardization publication	31

9.5	Intellectual property rights (IPR)	32
<hr/>		
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>34</b>
	<hr/>	
	Index	35

**Summary of pages**

This document comprises a front cover, an inside front cover, pages I to IV, pages 1 to 40, an inside back cover and a back cover.

---

# Foreword

## Publishing information

This British Standard is published by BSI Standards Limited, under licence from The British Standards Institution, and came into effect on 30 November 2021. It was prepared on behalf of the BSI Standards Policy and Strategy Committee.

## Supersession

This British Standard supersedes BS 0:2016, which is withdrawn.

## Information about this document

This is a full revision of the standard, and introduces the following principal changes:

- updating of the references to the European Community and European standardization in the light of the United Kingdom leaving the European Union;
- greater emphasis in [4.1.6](#) on the need of all those involved in developing British Standards to adhere not only to BS 0 but to all policies in force at the relevant time;
- expansion of the principles for meetings in [Clause 7](#) to cover conference calls, online meetings and hybrid meetings;
- updating of the roles and responsibilities of committee members and chairs in [Clause 7](#) and [Clause 8](#);
- expansion of the roles and responsibilities pertaining to UK national standards work in [Clause 8](#), including the roles of panel convenor and editorial project manager;
- revision of [9.5.2](#) on intellectual property rights. There is no radical alteration to BSI's position regarding IPR, but it has been restated in a clearer fashion; and
- removal of Annex A, which will become an online resource.

Certain topics regularly arise during any revision of BS 0, and it was decided that the following conventions will be retained in all British Standards of UK origin:

- the “z” spelling usage (e.g. “standardization”) for consistency with the preferred variant listed in the Shorter Oxford English Dictionary, noting also that it is the traditional English usage rather than an Americanism;
- the use of the decimal point in preference to the decimal comma, noting that it reflects the overwhelmingly predominant practice in the UK and is inconsistent with many international and European standards that are adopted without change by BSI; and
- the use of the “f” spelling of “sulfur” and its derivatives, noting that it is the agreed variant adopted by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) and most analogous professional bodies in the UK, and reflects the general usage of most of those in the UK scientific community.

BSI might from time to time develop policies or guidance documents to support the application of BS 0.

This publication can be withdrawn, revised, partially superseded or superseded. Information regarding the status of this publication can be found in the Standards Catalogue on the BSI website at [bsigroup.com/standards](https://bsigroup.com/standards), or by contacting the Customer Services team.

Where websites and webpages have been cited, they are provided for ease of reference and are correct at the time of publication. The location of a webpage or website, or its contents, cannot be guaranteed.

### **Presentational conventions**

The provisions of this standard are presented in roman (i.e. upright) type. Its principles are expressed as statements, or in sentences in which the principal auxiliary verb is “should”.

*Commentary, explanation and general informative material is presented in smaller italic type, and does not constitute a normative element.*

Where words have alternative spellings, the preferred spelling of the Shorter Oxford English Dictionary is used (e.g. “organization” rather than “organisation”).

### **Contractual and legal considerations**

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient’s own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

**Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.**

---

## 1 Scope

This British Standard sets out the principles of standardization undertaken by the British Standards Institution (BSI) in its role as the UK National Standards Body (NSB).

The principles encompass the development, publication, maintenance and application of British Standards, together with the UK's participation in international and European standardization.

The principles set out in this British Standard do not include details of BSI's non-NSB activities, nor the rules and procedures of the international and European standardization bodies.

---

## 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes provisions of this document<sup>1)</sup>. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.<sup>2)</sup>

[N1] INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION (ISO). *Principles and rules for the structure and drafting of ISO and IEC documents*. ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2. Geneva: ISO, 2018.<sup>3)</sup>

[N2] EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION (CEN) and EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR ELECTROTECHNICAL STANDARDIZATION (CENELEC). *Organization and structure*. CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, Part 1. Brussels: CEN/CENELEC, 2018.<sup>4)</sup>

[N3] EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION (CEN) and EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR ELECTROTECHNICAL STANDARDIZATION (CENELEC). *Common rules for standards work*. CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, Part 2. Brussels: CEN/CENELEC, 2020.<sup>4)</sup>

[N4] EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION (CEN) and EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR ELECTROTECHNICAL STANDARDIZATION (CENELEC). *Principles and rules for the structure and drafting of CEN and CENELEC documents*. CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, Part 3. Brussels: CEN/CENELEC, 2019.<sup>4)</sup>

[N5] BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTION (BSI). *Rules for the structure and drafting of UK standards*. London: BSI, 2017.<sup>5)</sup>

---

## 3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms

### 3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this British Standard, the following terms and definitions apply.

#### 3.1.1 British Standard (BS)

standard developed by a standing national, European or international committee and published by BSI

#### 3.1.2 British Standard of UK origin

British Standard developed within the UK

---

<sup>1)</sup> Documents that are referred to solely in an informative manner are listed in the Bibliography.

<sup>2)</sup> This clause, which contains standard wording used for all national, European and international standards, is intended to identify all other documents to which reference might need to be made in the application of this standard. In practice, it might be possible to apply this and many other standards without recourse to all or any such external references.

<sup>3)</sup> Available at [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives).

<sup>4)</sup> Available at [www.cen.eu/boss](http://www.cen.eu/boss).

<sup>5)</sup> Available at [www.bsigroup.com](http://www.bsigroup.com).

**3.1.3 BSI Board**

board of The British Standards Institution

**3.1.4 chair**

formally appointed leader of a committee

**3.1.5 committee**

*NOTE* The term “committee” is used in this standard to refer to both BSI technical committees (3.1.5.1) and BSI subcommittees (3.1.5.2). It does not refer to any other administrative or governance committee of BSI.

**3.1.5.1 technical committee (TC)**

body, established and managed by BSI, responsible for a particular area of standards work

**3.1.5.2 subcommittee (SC)**

subordinate committee, established and managed by BSI, of a technical committee

**3.1.6 committee member**

person serving on a BSI committee

**3.1.7 common modification**

alteration of, addition to or deletion of the content of a reference document, approved by CEN/ CENELEC and thus forming part of the EN (and HD for CENELEC)

[SOURCE: CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, Part 2:2020 [N2], 2.14]

**3.1.8 compliance**

action of a person or body in fulfilling provisions

**3.1.9 conflict of interest**

situation in which good faith, diligent, transparent and effective participation in the standards-making process free from commercial bias and consistent with standardization principles is or could be compromised owing to other activities, relationships or personal interests

*NOTE* It is understood that committee members (other than those who are co-opted or act as individuals) represent the interests, aspirations and concerns of their respective nominating organizations.

**3.1.10 conflicting national standard**

national standard, with the same scope as an EN (and HD for CENELEC) including requirements which conflict with the requirements of the EN (and HD for CENELEC)

*NOTE* Conflicting national standards have to be withdrawn irrespective of whether their content differs from the European standard.

[SOURCE: CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, Part 2:2020 [N2], 2.13, modified – note added]

**3.1.11 conformity**

fulfilment of a provision

[SOURCE: BS EN ISO 9000:2015, 3.6.11, modified – “requirement” changed to “provision”, notes deleted]



**3.1.12 consensus**

general agreement, characterized by the absence of sustained opposition to substantial issues by any important part of the concerned interests and by a process that involves seeking to take into account the views of all parties concerned and to reconcile any conflicting arguments

*NOTE* Consensus need not imply unanimity.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 2:2004, 1.7]

**3.1.13 consumer**

individual member of the general public purchasing or using property, products or services for private purposes

[SOURCE: BS ISO 26000:2010, 2.2]

**3.1.14 decision making process**

activities of a committee or panel directly related to establishing whether consensus has been reached on any particular matter requiring a decision

**3.1.15 delegate (n.)**

person appointed by a national standards body to represent the national interest at international or European standards meetings

*NOTE* The representative is usually a committee member.

**3.1.16 draft**

standard or other deliverable under development

**3.1.17 draft for public comment (DPC)**

stage in the development of a standard at which wider consultation is sought from the public at large

**3.1.18 enquiry**

stage of the development process at which a draft European or international standard is distributed for public consultation

**3.1.19 European standard (EN)**

standard adopted by CEN/CENELEC and carrying with it an obligation of implementation as an identical national standard and withdrawal of conflicting national standards

*NOTE 1* "Harmonized Standard" is a term used in Regulation (EU) No. 1025/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council [1], meaning a European standard adopted on the basis of a request made by the Commission for the application of Union harmonization legislation.

*NOTE 2* A European standard produced by the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) carries with it the same obligations.

*NOTE 3* European standards are adopted in the UK as BS ENs.

[SOURCE: CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, Part 2:2020 [N2], 2.5, modified – Note 2 and Note 3 added]

**3.1.20 expert**

<in European/international standardization> person nominated by a national committee to contribute to the detailed work of a working group (or similar body) in ISO, IEC, CEN or CENELEC

<in UK national standardization> person selected for their particular technical or other relevant knowledge to contribute to the detailed work of a panel

**3.1.21 formal vote**

stage of the development process at which the final text of a prEN (FprEN) is circulated by the CEN/ CENELEC Management Centre to national members for approval by weighted voting

**3.1.22 informative**

characteristic of material in a standard that supplements normative material by offering advice, information and guidance

**3.1.23 international standard**

ISO or IEC standard

**3.1.24 intellectual property rights (IPR)**

patents, rights to inventions, copyright, trade marks, domain names, designs, database rights, confidential information and similar rights anywhere in the world whether registered or unregistered along with the right to apply for protection of such rights

**3.1.25 nominating organization**

organization or body that exists to serve and/or represent a defined group of stakeholders

**3.1.26 normative**

characteristic of material in a standard that is essential to the application of the standard in the manner intended, and against which it is possible to demonstrate and claim conformity to the standard

**3.1.27 normative reference**

document to which reference is made in a standard in such a way as to make it indispensable for the application of the standard

**3.1.28 panel**

subordinate group of a BSI technical committee or subcommittee, responsible for specific delegated tasks, broadly equivalent to an international or European working group

*NOTE Panels are led by a convenor, who does not have the authority of a formally appointed chair.*

**3.1.29 panel member**

person serving on a BSI panel

**3.1.30 provision**

normative element of a standard

**3.1.31 regulation**

document providing binding legislative rules, that is adopted by an authority

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 2:2004, 3.6]

**3.1.32 standard**

document, established by consensus and approved by a recognized body, that provides, for common and repeated use, rules, guidelines or characteristics for activities or their results, aimed at the achievement of the optimum degree of order in a given context

*NOTE Standards are based on the consolidated results of science, technology and experience, and aimed at the promotion of optimum community benefits.*

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 2:2004, 3.2]

**3.1.33 standardization**

activity of establishing, with regard to actual or potential problems, provisions for common and repeated use, aimed at the achievement of the optimum degree of order in a given context

*NOTE 1 In particular, the activity consists of the processes of formulating, issuing and implementing standards.*

*NOTE 2 Important benefits of standardization are improvement of the suitability of products (including services) and processes for their intended purposes, prevention of barriers to trade and facilitation of technological cooperation.*

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 2:2004, 1.1]

**3.1.34 Standards Policy and Strategy Committee (SPSC)**

policy committee established by the BSI Board to advise it as appropriate on matters of standardization policy and strategy and to oversee all work undertaken in BSI committees

**3.1.35 Technical Report (TR)**

document published by ISO, IEC, CEN or CENELEC containing informative material not suitable to be published as a standard or a Technical Specification

*NOTE A TR might include, for example, data obtained from a survey carried out among the national members, data on work in other organizations, or data on the state of the art in relation to national standards on a particular subject.*

**3.1.36 Technical Specification (TS)**

document published by ISO, IEC, CEN or CENELEC about which there is the possibility of future approval as a standard, but for which at present there are reasons precluding immediate publication as a standard

*NOTE Typically the reasons for this would be that the required support for such approval cannot be obtained, there is doubt on whether consensus has been achieved or the subject matter is still under technical development.*

**3.1.37 vote**

process of approval undertaken for specific purposes by international and European standards bodies

**3.1.38 working group (WG)**

group established by an international or European technical committee or subcommittee, responsible for drafting documents with experts nominated by national bodies

**3.1.39 work item (WI)**

standards project

### 3.1.40 work programme

detailed list of all projects (work items) to be developed within the scope of a technical committee, forming a group of interrelated standards projects which together achieve a common purpose in support of the strategic aims of that committee

## 3.2 Abbreviated terms

For the purposes of this British Standard, the following abbreviated terms apply.

BS	British Standard
BSI	British Standards Institution
CEN	European Committee for Standardization
CENELEC	European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization
DPC	draft for public comment
EN	European standard
ETSI	European Telecommunications Standards Institute
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission
IPR	intellectual property rights
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
MoU	<i>Memorandum of Understanding between the United Kingdom Government and the British Standards Institution</i>
NSB	National Standards Body
SPSC	Standards Policy and Strategy Committee
SC	subcommittee
TC	technical committee
TR	Technical Report
TS	Technical Specification

## 4 Principles of standardization

### 4.1 Context and purpose

#### 4.1.1 Context

Standards provide a reliable basis on which common expectations can be shared regarding specific characteristics of a product, service or process.

#### 4.1.2 Purposes

The purposes of British Standards can include:

- a) facilitating trade, particularly in reducing technical barriers and artificial obstacles to international trade;
- b) supporting public policy objectives and, where appropriate, offering effective alternatives to regulation;

- c) providing a framework for achieving economies, efficiencies and interoperability;
- d) enhancing consumer protection, safety and confidence;
- e) supporting and defining good environmental, social and governance (ESG) practices;
- f) addressing climate change;
- g) supporting innovation; and
- h) enabling equality and inclusion.

#### 4.1.3 Characteristics of British Standards

All British Standards have the defining characteristics of:

- a) representing good practice as defined by experts;
- b) being developed and maintained by balanced and broadly representative standing committees that retain responsibility for them indefinitely, and that reach agreement by consensus;
- c) being made subject to open consultation; and
- d) remaining subject to systematic periodic review as to their continuing validity.

#### 4.1.4 Voluntary status

British Standards are voluntary in that there is no obligation to apply them or comply with them, except in those few cases where their application is directly demanded by regulatory instruments. They are tools devised for the convenience of those who wish to use them. In certain circumstances the actions of third parties might have the effect of making the application of a standard a commercial necessity, e.g. in a contract, but BSI has no control over these actions and is not a party to them.

#### 4.1.5 Authority and reliability

For a British Standard to be a useful and attractive tool for conducting business or supporting public policy, it has to command the trust and respect of all those who are likely to be affected by it.

The reliability of a British Standard rests not just on its technical accuracy and the sound judgement of those responsible for its text. There also needs to be a widely held confidence that:

- a) it is desirable, practical and authoritative;
- b) it offers no particular nor exclusive advantage to any party;
- c) it serves the needs of the wider community; and
- d) in application it will be consistent with regulations and legal principles established at the time of publication.

The measures that are necessary to underpin this confidence are set out in [Clause 5](#). It is the responsibility of all parties involved in the development of a standard to ensure that these are not compromised.

#### 4.1.6 Adherence to BS 0

Adherence to the principles set out in BS 0 is a condition of participating in any capacity in the development of British Standards. All those participating in standards development work are also expected to comply with all BSI policies as are in force at the relevant time.

## 4.2 The National Standards Body

### 4.2.1 Standards development

BSI is the UK National Standards Body (NSB). Its role is established under its Royal Charter and codified in the *Memorandum of Understanding between the United Kingdom Government and the British Standards Institution* (the MoU)<sup>6)</sup>. The role includes:

- a) coordinating and facilitating the development of British Standards of UK origin;
- b) participating in international standardization through ISO and IEC; and
- c) participating in regional (i.e. European) standardization through CEN and CENELEC.

BSI is also responsible for coordinating and facilitating the development of other types of standardization document, and, where appropriate, publishing them, including Technical Specifications (TSs), Technical Reports (TRs) and Published Documents (PDs). These do not share all the same defining characteristics as British Standards, and are therefore not to be regarded as British Standards (see [9.4.2](#)).

*NOTE 1* BSI is appointed as the National Standards Organization (NSO) for ETSI activities in the UK. Specific details of BSI involvement in this work can be found in the *Memorandum of Understanding between ETSI and the NSOs* (see [https://portal.etsi.org/nso/revised\\_nov02.asp](https://portal.etsi.org/nso/revised_nov02.asp)).

*NOTE 2* BSI is permitted under its MoU to produce other standardization products such as PAS and BSI Flex, which are outside the scope of BS 0.

BSI's NSB role also entails responsibility for publishing and promoting the standards that it develops. It maintains an infrastructure such that:

- 1) each of its standards is routinely reviewed; and
- 2) concerns about the content of a standard can be investigated and acted upon.

### 4.2.2 Resourcing

Standardization projects impose considerable demands on the resources of all those involved in them. BSI applies its resources at its discretion, taking into account the benefits that are likely to accrue from each project in the context of its overall work programme in its role as the NSB.

## 4.3 Application of standards

### 4.3.1 Principles of application

Responsibility for selecting and applying a standard lies with its user. In general, BSI is not able to assist in this, nor take any responsibility for it, other than to provide an indication of the scope and contents of a standard. In some circumstances, BSI might develop formally published guidance documents on the application of a particular standard or set of standards.

Standards are drafted in the expectation that those who use them will be appropriately experienced, qualified and equipped to do so, that they will apply them conscientiously and, where necessary, take sound advice by others qualified to provide it.

### 4.3.2 Interpretation

Responsibility for interpreting a standard rests with its user, informed where necessary by appropriate expert advice. Ultimately, the only body with the power to give a definitive interpretation is a court of law.

To avoid undermining the basis for common expectations set out in [4.1.1](#), BSI does not provide individual interpretations of standards. Individuals who are approached in their capacity as

<sup>6)</sup> Available at <https://www.bsigroup.com/globalassets/documents/about-bsi/bsi-uk-nsb-memorandum-of-understanding-uk-en.pdf>

committee members with questions of interpretation or analysis should refer to the relevant BSI committee manager.

However, any ambiguities, inconsistencies or possible errors notified to BSI will be referred for consideration to the committee responsible for the standard in question, as will any proposals for changes or improvements. These notifications are encouraged as a contribution to the maintenance of standards (see also [6.2](#)).

### **4.3.3 Declarations of compliance and conformity**

#### **4.3.3.1 Principles**

Declarations that an individual or organization has complied with the provisions of a standard, or that a product or service conforms to a standard (otherwise termed “conformity attestation”), are entirely the responsibility of those making such declarations (see also [4.3.4](#)).

Not all standards can support such claims in a meaningful way (see [9.4](#)). Those that can (or do), however, have to be drafted such that a valid claim can be made. Further information on conformity assessment is given in ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2:2018 [N1], Clause 33.

#### **4.3.3.2 Third-party conformity assessment**

As a long-standing principle of public policy, it is generally not acceptable for a standard to state that a legitimate claim of compliance is dependent on third-party conformity assessment. There are certain established derogations from this principle, and if, exceptionally, it is believed that a circumstance has arisen where a standard will need to include a requirement or recommendation for third-party conformity assessment, the approval of SPSC is required.

British Standards may not be explicitly developed in support of an individual conformity assessment scheme.

*NOTE Certification schemes might be offered by independent bodies in respect of published standards; this is wholly independent of the standards-making process and BSI's activities as the NSB.*

#### **4.3.4 Market surveillance**

BSI is not empowered, nor resourced, nor qualified to conduct market surveillance or to examine allegations of false claims of compliance with a standard. Such cases should usually be referred in the first instance to the relevant market surveillance authority (MSA) for the matter in question. In the case of most consumer goods this is the trading standards unit of the local authority in which the allegation arose.

### **4.4 Transparency**

As part of BSI's commitment to the transparency and accountability of the standardization process, the principal documents associated with the work programme of the committee, including notes of decisions made at committee meetings, will be made available on request, with the exception of documents that are potentially sensitive, such as briefing papers, or of potential commercial value, or that are subject to restrictions by their originating organizations.

The identities of the organizations represented on a committee are in the public domain. To protect against lobbying, media or social media attention, the identities of individuals are confidential.

Individuals may publicize the fact of their own involvement in standards development, provided that they adhere to BS 0 and all applicable policies, including all confidentiality provisions.

#### 4.5 Disclosure of documents to nominating organizations and employers

Subject to any other provision in this standard, committee members and panel members are permitted to discuss meeting minutes and other confidential committee documents with individuals in their nominating organizations and/or employers, on a need-to-know basis and with the expectation that those individuals and organizations will respect the confidentiality of the process and not release any information publicly or to a third party.

## 5 Development of British Standards

### 5.1 Principles


The principles underpinning the development of British Standards are set out in [4.1](#) and [4.2](#) and are discussed in further detail in [Clause 7](#).

The majority of documents published as British Standards have their origin in international standards (developed by ISO and IEC) or European standards (developed by CEN and CENELEC, often in partnership with their international counterparts). The remainder are developed exclusively by BSI to meet particular needs in the UK.

From a UK perspective, the focus for all three development streams is the BSI technical committee responsible for the relevant area of work (see [7.1](#)). The principles of development for each type of document are broadly similar, but there are some important procedural differences which are covered in [5.3](#) and [5.4](#).

The steps involved in the development, publication and maintenance of British Standards are shown in [Figure 1](#).

**Figure 1** — *Stages of standards development, publication and maintenance*





## 5.2 New work

Anyone, whether an individual, or a corporate or collective or public body, can propose a new British, European or international standard, or the revision or amendment of an existing one.

A proposer is expected to be able to demonstrate:

- a) a broadly based need for the proposed standard, revision or amendment; and
- b) that the work is likely to have support and participation by a wide range of relevant interests.

BSI will assess whether:

- 1) there are enough resources to provide an initial draft and complete the project within a reasonable timescale; and
- 2) any conflict would exist with any British Standard or European standard, either published or in development (see 5.4), consulting technical committees as appropriate.

*NOTE* The “reasonable timescale” for completion of a project varies according to its complexity and the breadth of consensus that needs to be established. Less than a year is rarely achievable; more than three years is rarely acceptable.

It is also expected that detailed consideration will have been given to the type of publication (see 9.4) best suited to achieve the intended purpose, and that the proposal will be accompanied by a draft scope statement (see 9.3.3).

All such proposals are evaluated against these criteria, also taking into account strategic priorities and resourcing. Where considered appropriate, wider consultation and impact assessment might be undertaken.

Proposals specifically for projects to be undertaken at international or European level are also subject to approval by the UK mirror committee (see 5.6) and to the approval process of the relevant international or European standardization body.

The international and European processes entail consultation with national bodies to establish whether there is:

- i) a market demand for the proposed standard; and
- ii) sufficient resource available to allow the project to be undertaken efficiently.

The outcome of the consultation is expressed as a national vote as to the desirability and feasibility of the proposal.

All proposals and approved new work items are announced publicly with a view to attracting comments and a wide range of involvement in their development.

Most projects are accepted onto the work programme on the basis of the scope statement originally submitted (see also 9.3.3). Subsequent significant changes to the scope can have an impact on the feasibility or desirability of a project and can result in the work being stopped.

## 5.3 International standardization

It is widely accepted that the purposes set out in 4.1.2 are most effectively and economically achieved by international standards. Where these can be established within reasonable timescales (i.e. typically less than three years) and can command the general acceptance of the international community, they are the preferred vehicle for voluntary public standardization in the UK. They also perform a major role in fulfilling the objectives of the World Trade Organization’s “Technical Barriers to Trade” Agreement (WTO TBT) [2].

However, international standardization is sometimes not feasible due to significant natural, economic, cultural or political factors. In these cases, standardization at a regional (e.g. European) or national level is more appropriate.

BSI, as the UK NSB, is a prominent member of both ISO and IEC, and participates fully to represent UK interests at all levels in those organizations. ISO or IEC standards that are not adopted as European standards are usually adopted as British Standards unless:

- a) there is an existing BS EN on the same subject;
- b) the national committee has indicated that there is a more suitable existing national standard on the same subject; or
- c) the national committee has provided a justification for non-implementation.

*NOTE* Rules and procedures for international standardization are set out in the ISO/IEC Directives ([3], [N1]).

## 5.4 European standardization

Two European Standards Organizations (ESOs), CEN and CENELEC, ensure the identical adoption of international standards across their 34 member countries, including European-only standards where appropriate, and the withdrawal of conflicting national standards. As the UK member of CEN and CENELEC, there is an obligation on BSI to follow the rules and procedures given in the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations ([N2] to [N4]).

Many European standards are developed in parallel with their international counterparts or are direct adoptions of them. In CENELEC, IEC standards can be modified (by a process known as “common modification”) to reflect specific European market needs or conditions. There is close cooperation between the respective standards organizations.

*NOTE* The third ESO is ETSI. See 4.2.1 for further information.

## 5.5 Common features of international and European standardization

### 5.5.1 Technical committees

The standards development processes of ISO, IEC, CEN and CENELEC all operate on the basis of technical committees comprising national delegations appointed by member bodies. In the UK, that body is BSI. It is usual for each technical committee to be responsible for a range of standards, both published and in development, that falls within its scope. Technical committees report to the managing bodies of their respective organizations, and these are responsible for monitoring the overall work programme and arbitrating disputes about committees’ terms of reference and spheres of activity.

*NOTE* BSI may also set up strategy and advisory committees to assist it in particular sectors.

### 5.5.2 Working groups

Technical committees can be subdivided into more specialist subcommittees. It is usual practice for the technical committee or subcommittee to allocate the drafting of each project to a group of experts, usually known as a working group (WG).

*NOTE* Other terms, such as “maintenance team”, “project team” or “ad hoc group”, are sometimes used for bodies set up by technical committees to undertake specific roles or tasks.

Working groups, each managed by a convenor appointed by the parent committee, are expected to work on the principle of consensus (see 7.5.3).

Any dispute that arises during the drafting process and cannot be resolved by the working group is expected to be referred to the parent committee for resolution. Technical committees retain authority for the content of the published standard.

### 5.5.3 Consultation with national bodies

The scale of participation in international and European standardization is such that it is impractical to apply the principle of consensus to all aspects of the development process. At specific stages [when a new work item is proposed (see also [5.2](#)), during public consultation (see [5.8](#)) and when the final draft is ready for approval (see [5.5.4](#))], the draft is referred back to national bodies which are invited to express their considered views on it by means of national votes. Although this process sometimes results in a strongly held national position being overridden, the voting thresholds are set sufficiently high for the characteristics of consensus (see [3.1.12](#) and [7.5.3](#)) to underpin most international and European standards.

At the public consultation stage (often termed the “enquiry” or “comment” stage), national bodies are expected to have in place a mechanism by which the draft is made available for general public review.

The responses to the consultation are evaluated and used as the basis for preparing national comments. The comments generally inform the national vote, reflecting a view as to whether the draft should go forward for further development or whether the project needs fundamental reconsideration by the committee responsible for it.

In complex or contentious projects this stage might have to be repeated. However, this is discouraged because of the delays it causes.

National comments are reviewed by the responsible technical committee (a task which it is usually free to delegate). Assuming that the project passes the first voting stage, comments are referred to the working group for further refinement of the draft.

### 5.5.4 Final approval

When the technical committee is satisfied that a draft has been developed that takes due cognizance of national comments and is suitable for publication as a standard, it authorizes the voting stage, usually termed the “final” or “formal” vote. This is of shorter duration and is neither expected to involve wide national consultation nor to attract comments of a technical nature. National bodies are simply invited to register approval or disapproval, in the latter case to be supported by a technical justification.

*NOTE* The final approval stage may be omitted under certain conditions which differ between organizations. See the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1 [[3](#)], and the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, Part 2 [[N2](#)].

## 5.6 UK participation in international and European standardization

It is generally expected that national member bodies will, as far as their needs and resources dictate, maintain national technical committees that reflect the same areas of interest as their international and European counterparts. Within the UK, these are usually termed “mirror committees”.

BSI committees (see [Clause 7](#)) fulfil this function for the UK, as well as being responsible for British Standards of UK origin. They are responsible for appointing delegates to international and European technical committees and for identifying and selecting appropriate experts to participate in the working groups.

Under normal circumstances, all enquiry drafts, including those for amendments, are issued as BSI drafts for public comment (DPC) (see [5.8](#)).

BSI committees are responsible for reviewing the responses to the public consultation, for compiling UK national comments and for advising BSI on the votes to be returned on each project (see [5.3](#) and [5.4](#)).

In the event of serious legitimate concerns about the proposed content of a European standard, particularly in terms of safety or in cases of apparent conflict with UK legislation or regulations,

procedures can be invoked to try to resolve them. In such cases, advice should be sought from BSI as early in the project as possible.

All members of national committees mirroring work at international or European level are bound by the rules and protocols of the appropriate international or European standards body.

## 5.7 British Standards of UK origin

BSI is free to produce and maintain standards on subjects not covered by European standardization. In many cases these will be in areas in which there is fairly clear national consensus but for which a wider geographical consensus would be more difficult to achieve within a reasonable timescale. In other cases, standards are developed for use in the UK before being proposed for further development as international or European standards.

British Standards of UK origin are usually expected to be developed within an 18-month timescale, and BSI usually applies a level of resource to help achieve this.

The development process is broadly similar to that for international and European standards, although there are no voting stages. The committee is asked to give approval at two stages (for the draft to go to public consultation and for the final version to be published), but no positive vote is required; if committee members do not respond by a set deadline then they are deemed to have given their approval.

Public consultation is an essential part of the process and the responses arising from it are reviewed in the same manner as for international and European projects.

Panels are expected to work on the principle of consensus (see [7.5.3](#)). Any dispute that arises during the drafting process and cannot be resolved by the panel is expected to be referred to the parent committee for resolution.

## 5.8 Consultation – Draft for public comment

A draft for public comment (DPC) is the principal means by which BSI discharges its responsibility to consult on the content of British Standards. It is used for all such projects (including draft international and European standards and UK national annexes to them) and for all amendments of a technical nature that might affect the application of a standard or the attestation of conformity to it.

A DPC is expected to be a mature draft, reflecting at least the proposed technical content of the standard. In addition to inviting comments on text proposed for publication, DPCs can be used to pose specific questions to seek opinions from a wider community on particular points.

DPCs are usually issued for a minimum period of 60 days, as required by the World Trade Organization's "Technical Barriers to Trade" Agreement (WTO TBT) [\[2\]](#). Exceptionally, longer or shorter periods may be selected to reflect particular needs or circumstances. BSI endeavours to make DPCs easily available and encourages informed responses from any organization or individual.

The committee or panel considers and resolves all comments received (which could involve rejection of a comment or of a specific proposed change). BSI reserves the right to omit from the committee's consideration any offensive or vexatious comments or those that reflect a campaign on the part of a vested interest.

## **6 Publishing and maintaining standards**

### **6.1 Publication**

#### **6.1.1 Principles**

Standards should be developed only when there is a demonstrable need for them. Publication therefore needs to be achieved as quickly as is consistent with the principles in BS 0.

BSI sells its standards through a wide range of distributors and in as wide a range of formats as can be commercially and technologically supported.

#### **6.1.2 Adoption of international and European standards**

The obligations set out in [5.4](#) regarding the adoption of all European standards form part of BSI's terms of membership of CEN and CENELEC.

No such obligations apply in respect of international standards, but BSI, as a member of ISO and IEC, has a policy of adopting all international standards unless there are sound reasons to the contrary. The relevant national committee is consulted on the proposed adoption of international standards (see also [5.3](#)).

#### **6.1.3 National forewords/annexes**

Each UK adoption of an international or European standard has a national foreword which can be used to state and explain any concerns that the UK mirror committee might have about its content. It may not be used to modify or supplement the text of the standard, but it is permissible to offer advice and guidance on applying the standard in a UK context.

More extended advice and guidance may be provided in the form of a national annex. In certain cases (e.g. Eurocodes) the provision of national annexes containing nationally determined parameters and provisions is envisaged in the drafting of the European standard.

The need for a UK mirror committee to make any such statement is almost always foreseeable, and advice should be sought from BSI as early as possible. In general, national annexes containing significant technical material are subject to public consultation, although not necessarily at the same time as the international or European material to which they relate. With a few established exceptions, national forewords and national annexes are always informative in status.

#### **6.1.4 Approval of publication**

The status of "British Standard" can be conferred on a document only by a formal approval process as from time to time agreed by the BSI Board in accordance with its Royal Charter and bye-laws.

The approval process is used to confirm that all relevant procedures and responsibilities have been discharged. It does not necessarily signify approval of a European text which BSI is obliged to adopt (see [5.4](#)).

### **6.2 Maintenance**

#### **6.2.1 Principles**

All British Standards are subject to review at least every five years. BSI aims to ensure that each British Standard falls under the responsibility of a standing technical committee that undertakes such reviews and considers any matters of concern brought to its notice at any other time.

If it is presented with evidence that one of its standards is unclear, inaccurate, disputable, or in any other way unfit for purpose, BSI endeavours to rectify the problem. The steps it takes will be

proportionate to the severity of the risk posed, and urgent safety problems can be expected to receive urgent action.

However, especially if it is necessary to make reference to a body outside BSI (e.g. a European or international standards committee), a less urgent problem might take many months or even years to be resolved.

Errors inadvertently introduced during the drafting or production of a standard that could lead to incorrect or unsafe application of the standard can be rectified by publication of a corrigendum. Trivial errors are usually left uncorrected until the need for a more substantial amendment arises.

### 6.2.2 Reviews

Reviews may be instigated at any time, at the discretion of either BSI or the responsible technical committee.

Whilst the responsibility for reviewing a standard lies with the appropriate technical committee, a public consultation is always a feature of the process and the views of the committee will be informed by any responses to it. These responses are particularly important for assessing a standard's continuing fitness for purpose and market relevance.

A review usually results in a standard being confirmed for continued use, withdrawn, or updated. There are four ways of updating a standard: revision, new edition, amendment and corrigendum.

- For full revisions, the text is completely reviewed from an editorial as well as a technical viewpoint, and is brought into line with the most recent edition of the BSI *Rules for the structure and drafting of UK standards* [N5]. Revisions take a new publication date.
- Amendments retain the original publication date, with an amendment date added. Only new or changed text is edited and issued for public comment. Additions, changes and deletions are marked with amendment tags.
- New editions fall between revisions and amendments. New editions can be used where many changes are introduced that affect a large proportion of the content, thus making an amendment unsuitable, but a full revision is not considered practicable. As with amendments, only new or changed text is edited and issued for public comment. However, unlike amendments, there are no amendment tags or other marks to indicate additions, changes or deletions. New editions take a new publication date.
- Corrigenda are used to correct one or more errors or ambiguities inadvertently introduced in either drafting or production that could lead to incorrect or unsafe application of the standard. Additions, changes and deletions are marked with corrigendum tags. There is no change to the publication date, but a reference to the corrigendum is included in the identifier.

In cases where it is not possible to undertake an adequate review (e.g. due to the lack of appropriate expertise), the standard is usually withdrawn.

### 6.2.3 Withdrawn publications

Withdrawal of a British Standard is at the discretion of BSI, but does not usually occur unless a full review process has been undertaken and a new version published, an adequate review has not been possible (see [6.2.2](#)), or the standard is deemed to be in conflict with a European standard.

If there is an industry need, a transition period can be included so that the current version and the subsequent edition are both current for an agreed period of time. This allows an industry to update relevant processes or products by the end of the agreed period of time in order to be able to claim conformity. The decision as to whether a transition period can be accommodated is at the discretion of BSI, and cannot conflict with any applicable European standardization rules.

Withdrawn publications no longer carry the status of a British Standard. However, BSI continues to hold and protect the copyright in them.

Recognizing that withdrawn publications can be important for research and litigation purposes, BSI endeavours to ensure that copies continue to be available for sale. BSI takes no responsibility for the content of a withdrawn publication.

Withdrawn publications are no longer maintained and are deemed incapable of conflicting with other standards.

---

## 7 BSI committees

*NOTE* See [3.1.5](#) for definitions of the different types of committee.

### 7.1 Principles

Development under the collective authorship of a committee is one of the defining characteristics of a British Standard.

A properly constituted and functioning BSI technical committee provides:

- a) the means for effective representation of a wide range of interests;
- b) critical but constructive consideration and validation of technical standpoints; and
- c) dispassionate evaluation of submissions arising from public consultations.

Its decisions are expected to be transparent and defensible, and made with due regard to the wider interests that are likely to be affected by them.

Each technical committee comes under the authority of SPSC, which is responsible for establishing it, determining its terms of reference and initial structure, and, if the need arises, disbanding it. SPSC may also choose to establish and empower senior committees to have oversight of specific sectors or areas of work and to coordinate the work of the relevant technical committees.

Each technical committee has clear and unambiguous terms of reference that define and delimit its role and scope of activity, so as to prevent encroachment on those of any other committee.

BSI has a responsibility to maintain a fair and wide-ranging balance of interests within each committee. The nature of the balance necessarily varies from committee to committee, but a committee in which one type of interest has a predominant influence is likely to be regarded as unbalanced.

There are areas of work for which it is difficult to achieve representation from a wide range of interest groups. In these cases, it is important that representation on a committee is not limited to a single interest. As a general rule, there should be participation by at least two parties whose interests do not coincide.

SPSC is the ultimate arbiter in cases of dispute about representation on a committee or a dispute between committees concerning their respective work programmes or terms of reference. All other cases of dispute are to be resolved in accordance with [7.7](#), in respect of which BSI senior management may seek the guidance of SPSC.

BSI has ultimate responsibility for all committees, including ensuring adherence to all of BSI and international and European standardization rules, principles and processes.

### 7.2 Committee constitution

The development of a British Standard is a collective endeavour that needs to involve a wide range of legitimate interests, and BSI welcomes applications for membership from any interested parties.

BSI aims to ensure that committees:

- a) contain the appropriate technical expertise;
- b) fairly represent the range of interests affected by their standards, including users of the standards; and
- c) reflect BSI's commitment to diversity and inclusion.

For practical reasons, as well as to achieve a fair balance of interests, committees have to be restricted to a manageable size and it is necessary to set certain criteria for membership.

Committee membership is at the sole discretion of BSI. It cannot be claimed as of right. It is not granted to any individual, organization or company seeking only to advance its own interests. BSI is not obliged to provide reasons for refusing membership.

It is generally expected that those sitting on a committee will:

- 1) be able to demonstrate technical expertise or relevant knowledge or experience in some areas of the committee's work; and
- 2) represent a collective body (nominating organization) that has a legitimate interest in the work of the committee.

However, it might also be possible for people with relevant expertise in the committee's work to represent themselves as individuals, or represent companies or other organizations where it can be demonstrated that their participation would be of wider benefit to the work of the committee and would not adversely affect the balance of decision making. Such membership is distinct from co-opted membership (see [7.4](#)).

In certain circumstances, non-voting observer membership of a committee is permitted at BSI's discretion. This is only where an individual or organization can prove its need to monitor a particular work programme, or where it can be useful in the recruitment and/or training of new committee members. Non-voting observers receive committee documents, can attend meetings and provide comments for consideration by fellow committee members, but do not participate in the decision making process that leads to consensus.

The constitution of a committee comes under regular scrutiny by the committee itself and by BSI. Records are maintained of those organizations invited to participate in its work. In order to encourage transparency, these records are made publicly available by BSI upon request (see [4.4](#)). Anyone who considers that a committee does not represent the markets or interests affected by a particular standard can raise this with BSI via the committee manager or using BSI's online contact details.

### **7.3 Nominating organizations**

Nominating organizations are usually expected to:

- a) be formally constituted;
- b) have a defined scope or terms of reference consistent with those of the committee;
- c) have open and non-discriminatory engagement criteria such as to permit representation of any UK interests that share their objectives;
- d) be an authoritative voice for a defined interest or group of interests affected, or potentially affected, by the work of the committee; and
- e) be committed to supporting the principle of consensus-based voluntary standardization.



Typically, nominating organizations fit into one of the following stakeholder categories:

- 1) trade association;
- 2) professional body;
- 3) research/scientific institution;
- 4) Government department;
- 5) Government agency/organization;
- 6) testing/certification body;
- 7) academia;
- 8) local authority (excluding trading standards);
- 9) trading standards;
- 10) other public/societal interest (including charities);
- 11) trade union;
- 12) consumer organization; and
- 13) environmental organization.

It is important that close and effective liaison is maintained between committee members and the organizations they represent, in order for the organizations' viewpoints to be established and their interests pursued effectively. It is expected that comprehensive briefings and reports will be routinely exchanged and that nominating organizations will ensure that, directly or indirectly, those representing their interests are adequately resourced to do so.

*NOTE* This refers to technical committee and subcommittee members, not panels for British Standards of UK origin (see [8.7](#)).

An organization wishing to make a nomination is expected to comply with all relevant BSI policies in force at the time.

Organizations are encouraged to consider diversity when selecting individuals to put forward as their nominated representative on a committee.

Organizations wishing to have more than one representative are expected to be able to justify such a request. This would be considered in the context of the overall size and balance of the committee (see [7.1](#) and [7.2](#)).

Organizations represented on a committee are expected to accept that it will sometimes be necessary for their interests to be put aside in order to achieve the higher purpose of developing standards that are ultimately of benefit to the entire community (see [7.5.3](#)).

#### **7.4 Co-option for specific tasks**

Individuals can be co-opted onto a BSI committee if they offer specialist technical expertise required for a specific project or work programme. They are not regarded as having membership in an individual capacity (see [7.2](#)) and are neither expected nor permitted to represent any interest. Co-option is usually subject to the committee's approval, although BSI is empowered to co-opt because of its overall responsibility for a committee's constitution.

*NOTE* See [8.7](#) for co-option onto panels for British Standards of UK origin.

Co-opted members are expected to recognize that, at the chair's discretion, their views might be taken into account only on those topics for which their particular expertise was originally sought.

## 7.5 Decision making

### 7.5.1 Principles

All committee decisions are made on the basis of consensus (see [7.5.3](#)). This might entail meetings, but, in many cases, decisions can be agreed by correspondence. Whether by correspondence or otherwise, the process needs to meet the following conditions:

- a) sufficiently clear and transparent;
- b) completed in a timely manner; and
- c) appropriately documented (including all decisions).

### 7.5.2 Meetings

“Meetings” include face-to-face meetings, those held by conference call or online, and any combination thereof. It is critical that all standing requirements (including, but not limited to, attendance recording and declarations regarding conflicts of interest and intellectual property) are adhered to, irrespective of the form in which the meeting takes place.

A record is taken of all attendees at a meeting. For physical meetings, attendees should sign an attendance sheet. Dialling in to a conference call or joining an online meeting is deemed to constitute signing the attendance sheet.

The quorum for a committee meeting is three, not including the chair, BSI employees or BSI representatives.

*NOTE* “Committee meeting” refers to technical committees and subcommittees, not panels for British Standards of UK origin (see [8.7.1](#)).

Meetings entail considerable resources and should not be convened without good reason. However, it is important that members have the opportunity to discuss complex or contentious matters as part of the consensus-building process.

Committees are encouraged to explore means that do not entail physical meetings, e.g. conference call or online meeting.

Adequate notice of a meeting needs to be given and all members should be given the opportunity to propose items of business for inclusion on the agenda.

Members unable to attend a meeting are expected to tender apologies for absence. They are also encouraged to make written submissions for consideration during the meeting.

A nominating organization is entitled to be represented at a meeting by an adequately briefed alternative representative if its usual nominee is unable to attend. This does not, of right, extend to co-opted members, company representatives or those acting in an individual capacity, although committee chairs may exercise discretion on this point.

Infrequent or inadequate participation is likely to bring into question whether a member is adequately fulfilling the role set out in [8.4](#). In such cases the individual and/or the organization they represent will be asked to confirm their ongoing interest in the work and, as applicable, may nominate an alternative representative.

Decisions taken by those present at a formal meeting are binding on the entire committee. Other than in exceptional circumstances and at the discretion of the chair and committee manager, business concluded at a meeting cannot be subsequently reopened.

BSI strives to ensure that committee meetings are conducted in such a way as to enable equal participation from all members of the committee.

### 7.5.3 Consensus

The principle of consensus has its origins in the desire to achieve the general acceptance and application of a standard within its intended sphere of influence. This entails trying to ensure that the interests of all those likely to be affected by it are taken into account, and that individual concerns are carefully and fairly balanced against the wider public interest.

Achievement of consensus entails recognition of this wider interest and willingness to make reasonable compromises (see also [7.3](#) and [8.4](#)). Trivial or vexatious objections are unlikely to gain support and may be overruled.

However, where a member consistently maintains a fundamental objection and supports it with sound arguments, these concerns will be taken seriously.

If such fundamental objections are not voiced by a set deadline as notified by BSI and sustained by that member or others, it will be assumed that consensus has been achieved.

In reaching decisions by consensus, members are expected to abide by the national, European or international regulations applicable to that decision.

In the ordinary course of events, all decisions about consensus are made within committee, under the direction of the chair, who is expected to remain impartial [see [8.5.1e](#)]. If an issue arises which the chair and committee manager do not believe can be resolved within the committee, they may refer the point to BSI's senior management, who may seek the advice of SPSC at their discretion (see also [7.7](#)).

If consensus cannot be achieved regarding a UK vote in respect of an international or European standard, the time available often precludes an extended disputes resolution process. In such cases, a UK abstention will be formally recorded.

If consensus cannot be achieved regarding a stand-alone British Standard, the project may be cancelled at BSI's discretion.

### 7.5.4 UK delegates and experts in international and European bodies

The relevant UK mirror committee usually selects delegations to formal meetings of international or European standards committees. Delegations are expected to receive a comprehensive brief from the UK mirror committee, and to reflect it faithfully when attending the meeting in question. If it is necessary to depart from a position established by the mirror committee, delegations should be able to defend their actions (e.g. by reference to broader strategic considerations).

The selection of delegates should be informed by the nature of the business likely to be discussed at the meeting. It is desirable that the UK delegation has as thorough an understanding as possible of the topics under discussion, so that it can respond authoritatively to positions held by other national delegations.

National delegations seldom comprise more than three individuals (including the head of delegation), and resource constraints often dictate that only one is able to attend.

Those who are nominated by UK mirror committees to attend international or European working groups or similar bodies (see [5.5.2](#)) are selected principally for their subject expertise. They are neither expected nor permitted to represent any interest group. They may be drawn from outside the committee membership, in which case they become co-opted members of the UK mirror committee for the duration of the project. Before beginning their work, they are expected to have taken a brief from the UK mirror committee, preferably by attending a meeting so that they can understand the nature of the UK interest in it, and any particular position that the UK wishes to be reflected in the drafting work.

Delegates and experts attending any international or European standardization meeting are fully accountable to the respective UK mirror committee. They are expected to provide a succinct but comprehensive report of the outcomes of the meeting to the relevant committee manager for circulation to the committee, with particular emphasis on matters of interest or concern to the UK committee. Such reports should not be circulated elsewhere due to the confidential nature of the information contained. Where more than one delegate or expert attends the same meeting for the same purpose, a single report will usually suffice.

## 7.6 Minutes

At the start of formally constituted committee meetings, the minutes from the previous meeting should be reviewed and confirmed (with modifications if appropriate).

Every formally constituted meeting of a BSI committee is minuted to record the following details:

- a) the time, date and place of the meeting;
- b) the organizations represented at the meeting;
- c) apologies received;
- d) the organizations registered as members but not represented at the meeting, if they have not sent apologies;
- e) any changes to the constitution of the committee since the last meeting;
- f) any declared conflicts of interest;
- g) any declarations of intellectual property rights (IPR) for published standards and/or work in progress;
- h) decisions, outcomes and actions agreed at the meeting; and
- i) any specific statement or standpoint requested to be recorded by an individual member.

Other than as agreed under item h), verbatim or extensive records of discussions are not taken. Formal minutes are not usually taken of meetings of panels, working groups or similar ad hoc groups. If they are, the same protocols apply as for minutes of committee meetings.

The minutes are the definitive record of the meeting.

## 7.7 Disputes

Prolonged disagreements at any stage of a standard's development can be very damaging. Every effort should be made to resolve them quickly. Initially, it is the responsibility of the committee chair to lead this task, with the support of the committee manager and other BSI employees as appropriate.

If these efforts are not succeeding, and are unlikely to succeed within a reasonable timescale, BSI senior management should be alerted without further delay.

A detailed investigation of the problem will be undertaken, and remedial measures will be proposed.

## 7.8 Legal issues

A committee should refer promptly to BSI if it identifies potential legal or regulatory issues.

## 7.9 Confidentiality and external communications

The standards development process is, as far as is feasible, open and transparent to those not participating in it directly (see 4.4). However, in order to encourage the free exchange of views, it is expected that formal committee meetings will usually be held in private, and that individual views and standpoints will not be reported outside the meeting without the express prior consent of those involved.

As such, minutes and other accounts of committee discussions are confidential to the members of the committee and BSI. Draft documents, including draft standards, are for private circulation by committee members, allowing limited consultation with organizations represented and external experts (see [4.5](#)). Only drafts designated as “for public comment” ([5.8](#)) and published standards are public documents.

Without express prior written authorization of BSI, it is not acceptable for any committee member to issue a public statement (e.g. to the press, on social media, or at a conference) that divulges the expected or unpublished content of a standard under development, or purports to reflect the collective viewpoint of a BSI committee or of BSI as an organization.

Any requests for a committee member to give evidence in legal or administrative proceedings in respect of his or her membership of a BSI committee should be notified immediately to BSI senior management.

### **7.10 Delegation of responsibilities**

In consultation with BSI, a technical committee may establish one or more subcommittees to take responsibility for particular aspects of its terms of reference, which might be national, European or international. The technical committee is responsible for establishing the terms of reference, delegated powers and initial membership of any such subcommittee. Such subcommittees function in all other respects according to the same principles that apply to technical committees.

A technical committee or subcommittee is also empowered to establish panels to undertake specific items or programmes of work, which might be national, European or international. Panels are generally not standing bodies and are usually disbanded on the completion of their allotted tasks.

The parent committee is expected to exercise vigilance over the composition of panels to ensure that they do not reflect any undue commercial influence or bias.

BSI may retain a technical author to assist in drafting a standard.

### **7.11 Inter-committee liaison**

If a technical committee identifies a particular need to liaise with or be represented on another technical committee, it can nominate one of its members to sit in a representative capacity on the other committee, subject to the agreement of BSI. An example of such a liaison would be between committees that work in a related field to prevent overlap or duplication of effort. In such cases the principles set out in [7.2](#), [7.3](#) and [7.7](#) apply to all parties as appropriate. Liaison representatives should provide comprehensive briefings and reports and may facilitate the exchange of documents, including working drafts. The liaison member may participate in the meetings of the committee they have been nominated to follow.

---

## **8 Roles and responsibilities**

### **8.1 BSI**

BSI’s principal responsibilities within the scope of this standard are outlined in [4.1.5](#), [4.2](#) and [7.1](#). In addition, BSI endeavours to:

- a) take effective steps to promote its activities as the NSB;
- b) maintain an influential presence in the governance and management of international and European standardization;
- c) make available a comprehensive training programme for the benefit of all those who directly participate in the standards development process;

- d) provide support and guidance on the standardization process; and
- e) maintain policies and internal procedures to support the effective discharge of all of these responsibilities.

## 8.2 BSI employees

No employee of BSI or its group involved in BSI's NSB activity may be a decision-making member of a standards-making committee or panel, unless express prior written consent from the Director of Standards is obtained.

*NOTE For clarity, employees involved in BSI's Notified Body activity or its certification business are not deemed to be NSB employees for the purposes of the above paragraph.*

BSI employees are permitted to offer relevant guidance and advice at any point in a committee's deliberations. BSI employees are also empowered and expected to intervene in such deliberations if it becomes apparent that they are likely to lead to decisions that are unlawful, illegal or potentially injurious to BSI's reputation.

## 8.3 Conduct

Those participating in BSI's standardization work, whether as committee or panel members, BSI employees, or in any other internal or external capacity, are expected to maintain high standards of commitment, personal conduct and professional integrity in all aspects of this work, including complying with BS 0 and with all BSI policies in force at the relevant time.

Those undertaking standards work have a right to expect courteous and open-minded treatment by their colleagues and for their views to be duly considered and respected. It is expected that participants will treat each other equally and without discrimination or bias. They should also be able to rely on their colleagues' participation and commitment and on their constructive approach to solving problems, removing obstacles to progress and achieving consensus.

Those representing the UK in international or European forums have a particular responsibility to ensure that the UK's influence is not compromised by poor standards of advocacy, commitment or personal conduct.

BSI reserves the right to suspend or remove a committee or panel member (including committee chairs/panel convenors) or nominating organization for any breach of any of its governing documents (including BS 0) or relevant policies, or for otherwise acting in a manner detrimental to the standards development process.

*NOTE This includes (but is not limited to) conduct that fails to meet expectations, repeated non-attendance at meetings without apology, or failure to participate in comments or votes, or to follow up allocated actions.*

## 8.4 Committee members

The role of BSI committee members is to:

- a) contribute their expertise and experience to the committee's standardization programme; and
- b) represent the interests, aspirations and concerns of the organization or company that they represent on the committee (see 7.2), other than in the cases of individual or co-opted members, who have no representational function (see 7.4).

All members of BSI committees, including those acting as chairs or secretaries, have a duty of care both to BSI and to users of BSI's publications. They are expected to:

- 1) act in good faith, with diligence and vigilance, aiming to ensure that:
  - i) their contribution to the committee's work reflects their experience and expertise and is well-founded, free from bias and consistent with the principles set out in BS 0; and

- ii) the output of the committee is technically sound;
- 2) take full account of the IPR considerations set out in [9.5.2](#);
- 3) make a formal declaration to the committee if any matter arises that could be judged to be a conflict of interest (see [3.1.9](#));
- 4) provide a brief summary of their expertise, experience and, if applicable, commercial interests;
- 5) be able and willing to use information technology tools that are made available to expedite the committee's work; and
- 6) participate in meetings as and when required (see [7.5.2](#)).

## 8.5 Committee chairs

### 8.5.1 Responsibilities

Chairs of BSI committees hold a key position in BSI's work. They have an important set of responsibilities, including:

- a) leading the committee in its formal deliberations, with the objective of establishing consensus on all matters brought to it for a decision;
- b) ensuring that a UK standpoint is established on international and European standardization matters within the committee's terms of reference, and that effective measures are in place for representing those views in appropriate forums;
- c) contributing to strategic planning of the committee's activities and work programme;
- d) assisting BSI in identifying potential committee members, and alerting BSI to any perceived imbalance in the constitution of the committee (see [7.2](#)) or under-representation of important stakeholders, interests or expertise;
- e) in all of the committee's business, exercising judgement without bias, mindful of the far-reaching consequences that sometimes attach to a committee's decisions;
- f) ensuring that all those participating in the work of the committee are encouraged and able to have their views heard and respected;
- g) supporting panels and working groups, and encouraging them to maintain schedules agreed with BSI;
- h) approving drafts for public comment and publication promptly for British Standards of UK origin (see [6.1.4](#));
- i) having regard to the reputation and standing of BSI and its work; and
- j) in consultation and conjunction with the committee manager, or appropriate BSI contact point, taking all necessary and appropriate steps to ensure that the business of the committee is undertaken fully in accordance with BS 0, particularly with due regard to the conduct required of committee members.

The role of chair is a greater time commitment than that of a committee member. Those offering themselves as chair should be aware of the importance of thorough preparation for meetings and the need for attention to all documents presented to a committee. The chair is also frequently the principal interface between the committee and BSI.

When appointed, if a chair is already a member of the committee, it is expected that they will relinquish the role of representing a particular organization or interest for the duration of their term as chair. Exceptions to this principle can only be made with the prior express consent of BSI's Head of Standards Governance and the committee, and might be subject to additional protocols. This does

not mean that the chair is precluded from offering the technical expertise or relevant knowledge or experience for which they were originally appointed to the committee.

It is recognized that, for reasons of financial or practical support, a chair might need to maintain links with an organization represented on the committee. In such cases this should be made known to BSI and to all members of the committee.

### 8.5.2 Qualities

Whilst chairs are expected to have at least the level of technical expertise that is commensurate with membership of the committee, it is not expected that they will necessarily be the committee's pre-eminent technical expert. In the case of committees responsible for a wide range of subjects, it is desirable for a chair to have a broad working knowledge of the committee's technical interests. It is also desirable to have an understanding of the application of the standards for which the committee is responsible, and of the markets in which they are used.

In order to fulfil the responsibilities outlined in [8.5.1](#), the principal qualities sought in a chair are the abilities to:

- a) communicate effectively;
- b) manage meetings effectively;
- c) lead and listen;
- d) assimilate and evaluate complex information quickly;
- e) see all sides of an argument;
- f) reconcile opposing arguments and forge an acceptable consensus;
- g) win and maintain the support and respect of colleagues; and
- h) appreciate diversity in all its forms, encouraging membership and contribution from an appropriately wide range of individuals and stakeholders.

### 8.5.3 Appointment and removal of chairs

Chairs normally serve for terms of up to three years, which may be renewed by mutual agreement.

*NOTE* Chairs commonly serve no more than two consecutive terms.

Technical committee chairs are appointed by BSI with approval by SPSC. Subcommittee chairs are appointed by BSI in consultation with their technical committee.

Appointments are usually made on the basis of a nomination by BSI, after an evaluation of candidates against the criteria discussed in [8.5.2](#). Interviews are often held for this purpose. It is usual to consult a committee as to its views on the chair, but elections are not held and the committee's views are not binding on BSI.

Chairs can be appointed from within the committee membership, but prior membership of a committee is not an absolute requirement for appointment as chair.

Chairs may be removed before the end of their term if BSI is of the reasonable opinion that they are not complying with the general obligations of BSI chairs.

Committees may have a deputy chair. This might be, for example, to have a second point of contact for the committee manager, or a member who can chair meetings if the appointed chair is unavailable.



## 8.6 Committee managers

Committee managers are the principal interface between the committee and BSI. They are responsible for ensuring that committees are properly constituted and function efficiently, effectively and in accordance with all BSI policies and procedures. In particular, they are responsible for:

- a) issuing notices and agendas for meetings;
- b) issuing minutes to the committee, and ensuring that actions arising are followed up;
- c) providing BSI policy and procedural expertise to the committee; and
- d) reviewing the membership, having regard to the need for diverse and balanced representation and effective participation of all relevant stakeholders.

Most committee managers are BSI employees. Committee managers can be provided by industry through a formal agreement with BSI, in which case they are allocated a BSI contact person for advice, guidance and general interface.

Committee managers provided by BSI or industry are neutral and do not contribute to technical discussions on standards in development, nor are they responsible for the technical content, which remains the responsibility of the committee members. BSI may reassign committee managers to different committees at its own discretion, according to its internal requirements.

In some cases, the responsibilities of the committee manager are shared between a specific BSI team, the committee chair and individual members of the committee. It is also possible for BSI to delegate tasks to an appropriate person if the normal committee manager is unavailable.

For projects for British Standards of UK origin, the committee manager normally attends the kick-off meeting, but is not required to attend any other drafting meetings (although they can do so if it is considered to be necessary).

## 8.7 Additional roles and responsibilities for British Standards of UK origin

### 8.7.1 Panels for British Standards of UK origin

The drafting of British Standards of UK origin is often delegated by the committee to a panel of experts (see [7.10](#)).

Panel members are expected to:

- a) understand and adhere to BS 0, BSI procedures and the key stages of the development process;
- b) understand and adhere to the *Rules for the structure and drafting of UK standards* [N5] and BSI house style;
- c) be able and willing to use information technology tools that are made available;
- d) commit to publishing the standard on schedule;
- e) actively participate throughout the development process, take on actions, and meet agreed deadlines;
- f) provide relevant technical input, attending meetings where necessary and completing work between meetings;
- g) be responsible for obtaining appropriate consent to reproduce third-party IPR, if it is determined that such material needs to be included; and
- h) review all drafts and comments carefully, prior to meetings, and raise queries or objections within agreed timescales.

Panel members are co-opted for their personal expertise and experience. Unlike committee members, they neither represent nor take a brief from any organization or company, and it is not usually considered appropriate for them to send a representative in the event that they are unable to attend a meeting. It is not necessary for them to be members of a committee. The technical committee retains authority for the content of the standard. It will be the arbiter in the case of technical disagreements. There is no quorum for a panel meeting.

### 8.7.2 Panel convenors

Effective chairing of a panel can make a great difference to the efficiency of the development of a standard.

The panel convenor has the same responsibilities as the other panel members (see [8.7.1](#)), but is also expected to:

- a) act to attain consensus throughout the drafting process;
- b) work closely with the editorial project manager (see [8.7.3](#)) to ensure that drafting progresses on schedule and that any difficulties are resolved quickly;
- c) run meetings effectively to ensure that discussions are succinct and focused, and be decisive, where necessary;
- d) safeguard the impartiality and integrity of the development process;
- e) delegate actions;
- f) encourage all members to complete work within agreed timescales to ensure that the project is published on schedule;
- g) alert BSI to any underrepresentation of important interests or expertise;
- h) agree/provide a list of items to cover at meetings; and
- i) provide progress reports and updates to the responsible technical committee, committee manager and editorial project manager, when requested, in a timely manner.

*NOTE This is particularly important when panel meetings take place with no BSI employees present.*

### 8.7.3 Editorial project managers

Editorial project managers are responsible for the management, editing and publishing of British Standards of UK origin. They work with individual panels/committees to guide standards from inception to publication, within the timescale agreed by the panel/committee at the start of the project.

In addition to the general responsibilities of all BSI employees (see [8.2](#)), editorial project managers have specific responsibilities to:

- a) support the convenor and committee manager in managing the panel;
- b) agree schedules with the panel/committee at the beginning of the project and subsequently work with the panel/committee to publish the standard on schedule;
- c) arrange and attend drafting meetings, as necessary, providing editorial input;

*NOTE Editorial project managers do not need to be present at all drafting meetings, in particular, for technical drafting meetings.*

- d) edit drafts in accordance with BS 0, the *Rules for the structure and drafting of UK standards* [N5] and BSI house style;
- e) arrange for drawings to be prepared by the BSI Drawing Office;

- f) coordinate the resolution of technical and editorial queries; and
- g) keep relevant stakeholders informed of progress with the draft.

Editorial project managers work closely with committee managers, but do not fulfil the same role. Committee managers have ultimate responsibility for the standards produced by their committees, and deal with any matters pertaining to panel/committee membership. Editorial project managers are responsible for the day-to-day management of individual projects.

---

## 9 Content and drafting of standards

### 9.1 Principles

For a British Standard to fulfil the purposes set out in [4.1.2](#), it needs to be drafted in such a way that a user can be confident that all others applying the standard share the same understanding of its provisions.

It is fundamentally important for a standard to be:

- a) suitable for its intended aims and purposes;
- b) appropriate in content and language for its intended audience;
- c) clear and unambiguous;
- d) sufficiently accurate and precise for its intended purpose;
- e) capable of supporting legitimate claims of compliance and conformity (e.g. by containing only provisions that are verifiable) where appropriate to its stated purpose;
- f) not unduly restrictive (e.g. such as might result in stifling competition or impeding innovation; provisions should, as far as possible, be written in terms of performance);
- g) comprehensive within its intended scope and field of application (i.e. it does not omit any detail necessary for its effective application by its intended audience); and
- h) consistent with the law in all jurisdictions in which it is envisaged to be applied.

A standard should, to the extent practicable, be inclusive of the needs of the range of individuals who might be affected by the use of the standard (see also [9.3.1](#)).

### 9.2 Relationship with the law

Standards are always subordinate to the law. It is important that they are drafted in such a way as to avoid any confusion between the provisions of a standard and requirements imposed by law.

Other than in exceptional circumstances, it is not acceptable for standards to contain provisions that are already requirements imposed by law, nor to contain any statement recommending or requiring compliance with the law. It is also not advisable to quote legislation, and no attempt should be made to offer any interpretation of the law. Advice should be sought from BSI if circumstances arise where this appears to be necessary.

Reference to particular legislation is permissible where it is relevant and potentially helpful in applying the standard. Where such legislation has national variants (e.g. in England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland), all of the national variants should be included in the reference. Lengthy lists of legislation should be avoided as there is a risk that the user might believe any such list to be definitive and exhaustive. Any reference to legislation might become out of date during the lifespan of the standard, and no reliance can be placed on it as being a definitive statement of a user's legal responsibilities or potential liabilities.

Particularly for the purpose of preventing anticompetitive effects or impeding innovation [see 9.1f)], whenever possible, provisions are expressed in terms of performance rather than design or descriptive characteristics.

*NOTE* A more detailed discussion of this principle appears in the respective drafting rules (see 9.3.1).

## 9.3 Drafting

### 9.3.1 Drafting rules

The fundamental reference point for the drafting of all British Standards, of whatever origin, is the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 [N1].

International standards are drafted in accordance with an unmodified version of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 [N1].

European standards are drafted in accordance with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, Part 3 [N4]. This is a modified version of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, for use in Europe.

British Standards of UK origin are drafted in accordance with the BSI *Rules for the structure and drafting of UK standards* [N5]. This is a modified version of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, for use in the UK.

*NOTE 1* A guide to the process of developing a British Standard of UK origin [4] is published by BSI. The advice it contains is likely also to be of value to those involved in drafting international and European standards.

*NOTE 2* When developing standards, committees are encouraged to refer to the ISO/IEC and CEN/CENELEC guides for standards makers, including ISO Guide 82, ISO Guide 84, ISO/IEC Guide 17, ISO/IEC Guide 71 and CEN/CENELEC Guide 6. A more comprehensive list can be found on the BSI website at <https://www.bsigroup.com/en-GB/standards/Information-about-standards/how-are-standards-made/The-BSI-Guide-to-Standardization/>.

### 9.3.2 Language

Many standards, in dealing with highly specialized topics and addressing a very specialist readership, might necessarily use technical language not readily understood by non-specialists. Nonetheless, every effort has to be made to keep the tone of a standard clear, direct and free of jargon, and its structure intuitive to its intended users.

Most British Standards are originally drafted in English. However, given the wider context in which these standards are developed and used, most of them are also likely to be translated into other languages. It is very important to guard against the possibility of mistranslation, or the introduction of ambiguities, deliberate or accidental.

### 9.3.3 Scope statement

The draft scope statement for a standard is expected to be developed prior to the formal project approval stage (see 5.2). Most projects are accepted onto the work programme on the basis of the scope statement submitted at the time, and subsequent changes to the principles of the scope will rarely be permitted without re-evaluation of the project.

The scope statement is an essential part of the early stage of the development of a standard, defining both the scope of the document and also the scope of the project to develop it.

The scope is a definitive statement of the type of standard (see 9.4.1), the subject being standardized, and its application. It should also indicate subject matter that a user might expect to be included but which is in fact excluded, and, where possible, direct users to where such subject matter is covered.

Whilst the scope statement should be short and succinct, it is important that it is worded to take into account the implications of the standard for its users, particularly in respect of the factors listed in 9.1, items a), f) and g).

## 9.4 Types of standardization publication

### 9.4.1 British Standards

British Standards (see [4.1.3](#) for a discussion of their defining characteristics) usually fit into one of the following types.

- A specification gives a coherent set of absolute requirements, each objectively verifiable. The result is a non-negotiable set of criteria for products, materials, services, processes or systems. It is particularly suited to giving the performance criteria demanded of a product, or the fundamental elements of a service or management system.
- A management systems standard provides a model to follow when setting up and operating a management system. It is usually written in the style of a specification. All international management system standards use the structure given in Annex SL in the Consolidated Supplement to the ISO Directives [5]. National management system standards should use this structure whenever possible, to align with international standards.
- A code of practice contains recommendations and supporting guidance, where the recommendations relevant to a given user have to be met in order to support a claim of compliance. Users may also justify substitution of any of the recommendations in a code of practice with practices of equivalent or better outcome. Depending on the context and field of application, a code of practice usually reflects current good practice as employed by competent and conscientious practitioners.
- A guide primarily contains information and guidance. It may also include recommendations where appropriate, but these are generally of a nature that would not support reliable claims of compliance.
- A method of test provides repeatable and reproducible procedures with consistent outcomes for the assessment of material, product or process performance.
- A method of specifying gives characteristics of a material, product, process or system so that a customer can select the values needed before agreement with a supplier.
- A vocabulary is a compendium of terms and definitions, which helps harmonize the use of language within a given sector, field or discipline.
- A classification is an ordering of items or grading system for use across a given sector, field or discipline.

For standards of international and European origin, specifications, methods and vocabularies are the predominant models and less prescriptive standards are less common.

### 9.4.2 Non-standards publications

BSI, as the UK NSB, publishes other standardization documents that share many of the characteristics of a British Standard, but which do not qualify for that status (see [4.2.1](#)).

Conversion of such a document to a British Standard requires the full consensus-building and public consultation processes associated with that status (see [4.1.3](#)).

## **9.5 Intellectual property rights (IPR)**

### **9.5.1 Principles**

There are two categories of intellectual property rights that are relevant to BSI standards and publications:

- a) IPR in the wording, drawings, data and other content of the standards themselves, e.g. copyright (content IPR). This content IPR should be owned by BSI to enable proper publication, distribution and licensing of the standards; and
- b) IPRs that might exist in the products, methods, processes and technologies described in the standards, e.g. patents (product/process IPR). BSI's policy is to ensure that, to the extent possible, standards do not require the use of third-party product/process IPR, so as to facilitate widespread and easy adoption of standards in the relevant sectors.

For international and European standards, the rules and procedures of the relevant international and European body that govern the inclusion of intellectual property apply.

### **9.5.2 Ownership of content IPR**

The collaborative work of the committee leads to the creation of new content IPR. All contributors (including committee members and panel members) agree that all content IPR in contributions made by each contributor belongs to BSI and, to the extent required to achieve this, each contributor assigns to BSI (on their own behalf and on behalf of their employer) all such content IPR. In exceptional circumstances, BSI may, at its sole discretion, agree to an alternative form of transfer of IPR.

Contributors agree not to contribute content in which third parties own content IPR.

Contributors are expected to waive all moral rights (or similar rights) in their contributions and to agree that their contribution may be used without referencing the contributor's name.

### **9.5.3 Use of content IPR**

Committee members and panel members are entitled to use content IPR and other relevant content published and owned by BSI as part of the standards-making process and in accordance with BSI policies.

Use of BSI's content IPR by committee members and panel members outside the scope of the standards-making process requires approval by BSI and might entail payment of a fee.

### **9.5.4 Product/process IPR**

#### **9.5.4.1 General**

BSI's policy is to ensure that, to the extent possible, standards do not require the use of third-party product/process IPR, so as to facilitate widespread and free adoption of standards in the relevant sectors.

#### **9.5.4.2 Good faith disclosure obligation**

Committee members are expected to use reasonable endeavours to inform the responsible committee in good time if either of the following come to their notice:

- a) any product/process IPR that appears to be relevant to the standard under development; and/or
- b) any claim that product/process IPR is applicable to a standard, whether published or still under development.

This includes, in each case, product/process IPR in which committee members, or their employer, or any other entity with which they have a link, might have an interest.

#### **9.5.4.3 Formal calls for disclosure**

A formal call to identify all product/process IPR will be made at the following stages during the development of each standard:

- a) when a new work item is publicly announced;
- b) before a draft standard is released for public comment; and
- c) during, or immediately prior to, the final approval process of the standard.

#### **9.5.4.4 Disclosed product/process IPR**

Committee members should endeavour to ensure that standards do not include any product/process IPR to the extent reasonably possible.

If this is not possible, committee members should liaise with any third party owning such product/process IPR to agree terms on which such product/process IPR may be used by users of the standard. These should:

- a) be the least restrictive licensing terms possible;
- b) be made available to all users of the standard on fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory (FRAND) terms; and
- c) ensure that any entity to which the IPR holder transfers its IPR is similarly bound by this commitment.

A note drawing attention to any product/process IPR included in a standard will be included at the appropriate point in the published standard.

If any third-party IPR holder is unwilling to provide this commitment, the committee will assess the appropriate action to be taken. This includes reviewing the standard to see if it can be rewritten so as not to require use of such product/process IPR.

BSI itself does not perform any check on the existence, status or validity of any product/process IPR, and accepts no liability for inclusion or omission of such IPR in a standard.

## Bibliography

### Standards publications

For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

[BS EN ISO 9000:2015](#), *Quality management systems – Fundamentals and vocabulary*

BS ISO 26000:2010, *Guidance on social responsibility*

BSI Flex 0, *Principles of BSI Flex standardization*

CEN/CENELEC Guide 6, *Guide for addressing accessibility in standards*

ISO Guide 82, *Guidelines for addressing sustainability in standards*

ISO Guide 84, *Guidelines for addressing climate change in standards*

[ISO/IEC Guide 2:2004](#), *Standardization and related activities – General vocabulary*

ISO/IEC Guide 17, *Guide for writing standards taking into account the needs of micro, small and medium-sized enterprises*

ISO/IEC Guide 71, *Guide for addressing accessibility in standards*

PAS 0, *Principles of PAS standardization*

### Other publications

- [1] EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES. 1025/2012. Regulation (EU) No. 1025/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on European standardisation, amending Council Directives 89/686/EEC and 93/15/EEC and Directives 94/9/EC, 94/25/EC, 95/16/EC, 97/23/EC, 98/34/EC, 2004/22/EC, 2007/23/EC, 2009/23/EC and 2009/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Decision 87/95/EEC and Decision No 1673/2006/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council Text with EEA relevance. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 2012.
- [2] WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION. *Agreement on technical barriers to trade*. Geneva: WTO.<sup>7)</sup>
- [3] INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION (ISO). *Procedures for the technical work*. ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. Geneva: ISO, 2016.<sup>8)</sup>
- [4] BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTION. *Drafting a British Standard – Working with your content developer*. London: BSI, 2015.<sup>9)</sup>
- [5] INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION (ISO). *Procedures specific to ISO*. ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1 – Consolidated ISO Supplement. Geneva: ISO, 2018.<sup>8)</sup>

<sup>7)</sup> Available at [www.wto.org/english/tratop\\_e/tbt\\_e/tbt\\_e.htm](http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/tbt_e/tbt_e.htm).

<sup>8)</sup> Available at [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives).

<sup>9)</sup> Available at [www.bsigroup.com/en-GB/standards/Information-about-standards/how-are-standards-made/The-BSI-Guide-to-Standardization](http://www.bsigroup.com/en-GB/standards/Information-about-standards/how-are-standards-made/The-BSI-Guide-to-Standardization). Undergoing revision at the time of publication of this edition of BS 0, to be republished under the title *Drafting a British Standard – Working with your editorial project manager*.



## Index

- abstentions, [7.5.3](#)
- access to committee documents, [4.4](#), [4.5](#)
- accountability, [4.4](#), [7.5.4](#)
- adherence to BS 0, [4.1.6](#)
- adoption of international/European standards, [5.3](#), [5.4](#), [6.1.2](#)
- agendas, [7.5.2](#), [8.6](#)
- amendments, [5.8](#), [6.2.2](#)
- Annex SL, [9.4.1](#)
- announcement of new work, [5.2](#)
- application of standards, [4.3](#)
  - interpretation, [4.3.2](#)
  - principles, [4.3.1](#)
- appointment of committee chairs, [8.5.3](#)
- approval process
  - international/European, [5.5.4](#), [6.1.4](#)
  - national, [5.7](#), [6.1.4](#)
  - new work, [5.2](#)
- assessment *see* conformity
- attendance at meetings, [7.5.2](#), [8.3](#), [8.7.1](#)
- audience *see* users of standards
- authority of standards, [4.1.5](#)
  
- balance of interests, [7.1](#), [7.2](#)
- barriers to trade, [4.1.2](#), [9.1](#), [9.2](#)
- bias, avoiding, [7.10](#), [8.3](#), [8.4](#), [8.5.1](#)
- British Standard
  - authority, [4.1.5](#)
  - characteristics, [4.1.3](#), [7.1](#)
  - definition, [3.1.1](#)
  - principles of development, [5.1](#)
  - principles of publication, [6.1.1](#)
  - reliability, [4.1.5](#)
  - types, [9.4.1](#)
  - voluntary status, [4.1.4](#)
  - see also* British Standard of UK origin
- British Standard of UK origin
  - definition, [3.1.2](#)
  - development process, [5.7](#)
  - drafting, [9.3](#)
  - roles and responsibilities, [8.7](#)
- BSI Board, [3.1.3](#), [6.1.4](#)
- BSI employees, [7.7](#), [8.2](#), [8.6](#), [8.7.3](#)
- BSI Flex, [4.2.1](#)
- BSI policies, [4.1.6](#), [4.4](#), [6.1.2](#), [7.3](#), [8.1](#), [8.3](#), [8.6](#), [9.5.1](#), [9.5.3](#), [9.5.4.1](#)
- BSI Rules for the structure and drafting of UK standards*, [9.3.1](#)
  
- cancellation of projects, [7.5.3](#)
- CEN, [4.2.1](#), [5.1](#), [5.4](#), [5.5.1](#), [6.1.2](#)
- CENELEC, [4.2.1](#), [5.1](#), [5.4](#), [5.5.1](#), [6.1.2](#)
- CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, [5.4](#), [5.5.4](#), [9.3.1](#)
- certification schemes, [4.3.3.2](#)
- chairs
  - appointment, [8.5.3](#)
  - definition, [3.1.4](#)
  - qualities, [8.5.2](#)
  - removal, [8.3](#), [8.5.3](#)
  - roles and responsibilities, [7.5.2](#), [7.5.3](#), [7.7](#), [8.3](#), [8.4](#), [8.5.1](#), [8.5.2](#)
  - terms served, [8.5.3](#)
  - see also* panel convenors
- characteristics
  - of specific types of standard, [9.4.1](#)
  - of standards in general, [4.1.3](#), [7.1](#)
- claims of compliance *see* compliance
- classification, characteristics, [9.4.1](#)
- code of practice, characteristics, [9.4.1](#)
- comment resolution process
  - international/European, [5.5.3](#)
  - national, [5.8](#)

- comment stage *see* draft for public comment
- committee documents
  - confidentiality, [4.4](#), [4.5](#), [7.9](#)
  - publicly available, [4.4](#)
- committees, [Clause 7](#)
  - chairs, [8.5](#)
  - conduct, [8.3](#)
  - constitution, [7.2](#)
  - definition, [3.1.5](#)
  - delegation of responsibility, [7.10](#)
  - diversity and inclusion, [7.2](#)
  - international/European, [5.5.1](#), [5.5.2](#)
  - liaison, [7.11](#)
  - meetings, [7.5.2](#), [7.6](#)
  - membership, [7.2](#)
  - nominating organizations, [7.2](#), [7.3](#), [7.5.2](#), [8.3](#)
  - principles, [7.1](#)
  - quorum, [7.5.2](#)
  - terms of reference, [7.1](#)
  - see also* chairs, committee managers, committee members, subcommittee, technical committees
- committee chairs *see* chairs
- committee managers, [7.5.2](#), [7.5.3](#), [7.7](#), [8.6](#), [8.7.3](#)
- committee members
  - conduct, [8.3](#)
  - co-opted, [7.4](#), [7.5.2](#), [7.5.4](#), [8.4](#)
  - criteria for membership, [7.2](#)
  - definition, [3.1.6](#)
  - protection of identity, [4.4](#)
  - roles and responsibilities, [8.3](#), [8.4](#), [9.5.2](#), [9.5.4.2](#)
- committee secretaries *see* committee managers
- common modification, [3.1.7](#), [5.4](#)
- communication, [7.9](#)
- compliance
  - claims/declarations, [4.3.3](#), [9.1](#), [9.4.1](#)
  - definition, [3.1.8](#)
- false claims, [4.3.4](#)
- principles, [4.3.3.1](#)
- with BS 0, [4.1.6](#)
- with legislation, [9.2](#)
- conduct, [8.3](#)
- confidentiality
  - documents, [4.4](#), [4.5](#), [7.9](#)
  - identity of individuals, [4.4](#)
  - meetings, [7.9](#)
- confirmation of a standard, [6.2.2](#)
- conflict
  - of interest, [3.1.9](#), [7.5.2](#), [7.6](#), [8.4](#)
  - with legislation, [5.6](#), [9.2](#)
  - with other standards, [5.2](#), [5.4](#), [6.2.3](#)
- conflicting national standard, [3.1.10](#), [5.4](#)
- conformity
  - assessment, [4.3.3.1](#), [4.3.3.2](#)
  - attestation, [4.3.3.1](#), [5.8](#), [9.1](#)
  - definition, [3.1.11](#)
- consensus
  - as defining characteristic of standards development, [4.1.3](#)
  - definition, [3.1.12](#)
  - failure of, [7.5.3](#), [7.7](#)
  - international/European, [5.5.3](#), [7.5.3](#)
  - national, [5.7](#)
  - principles, [7.5.3](#)
  - responsibility of committee members, [8.3](#)
- constitution of committees, [7.2](#)
- consultation
  - as defining characteristic of standards development, [4.1.3](#)
  - as part of review process, [6.2.2](#)
  - with national bodies, [5.5.3](#)
  - see also* draft for public comment
- consumer
  - definition, [3.1.13](#)

- protection, [4.1.2](#)
- representation on committees, [7.3](#)
- content IPR, [9.5.1](#), [9.5.2](#), [9.5.3](#)
- content of standards, [Clause 9](#)
- context of standardization, [4.1.1](#)
- convenor *see* panel convenor
- co-opted members, [7.4](#), [7.5.2](#), [7.5.4](#), [8.4](#), [8.7.1](#)
- copyright, [6.2.3](#), [9.5.1](#)
  - see also* intellectual property rights
- correction of errors, *see* corrigenda
- corrigenda, [6.2.1](#), [6.2.2](#)
  
- decimal marker, Foreword
- decision making, [7.5](#)
  - balance, [7.2](#)
  - BSI employees, [8.2](#)
  - consensus, [7.5.3](#)
  - definition, [3.1.14](#)
  - meetings, [7.5.2](#)
  - principles, [7.5.1](#)
- declaration *see* compliance, conflict of interest, intellectual property rights
- delegates, [3.1.15](#), [5.6](#), [7.5.4](#)
- delegation of responsibilities, [7.10](#)
- deputy chairs, [8.5.3](#)
- development of standards, [4.1.6](#), [4.2.1](#), [Clause 5](#)
- disclosure
  - of documents to nominating organizations, [4.5](#)
  - of IPR, [9.5.4](#)
- disputes, [5.5.1](#), [5.5.2](#), [5.7](#), [7.1](#), [7.5.3](#), [7.7](#), [8.7.1](#)
- diversity, [7.2](#), [7.3](#), [8.5.2](#), [8.6](#)
- drafts, [3.1.16](#), [5.5.3](#), [7.9](#)
  - see also* draft for public comment
- draft for public comment, [3.1.17](#), [5.5.3](#), [5.6](#), [5.8](#)
- drafting panels *see* panels
- drafting rules, [9.3.1](#)
- drafting standards, [4.3.1](#), [Clause 9](#)
  
- duty of care, [8.4](#)
  
- editorial project managers, [8.7.3](#)
- enquiry, [3.1.18](#), [5.5.3](#), [5.5.4](#), [5.6](#)
- enquiry draft *see* draft for public comment
- errors, correction of, [4.3.2](#), [6.2.1](#), [6.2.2](#)
- ETSI, [4.2.1](#)
- Eurocodes, [6.1.3](#)
- European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization *see* CENELEC
- European Committee for Standardization *see* CEN
- European standardization, [3.1.19](#), [4.2.1](#), [5.4](#), [5.5](#), [5.6](#), [7.5.4](#), [8.3](#), [9.5.1](#)
  - see also* CEN, CENELEC
- European Telecommunications Standards Institute *see* ETSI
- experts, [3.1.20](#), [5.5.2](#), [5.6](#), [7.2](#), [7.4](#), [7.5.4](#), [8.4](#), [8.7.1](#)
- external communications, [7.9](#)
  
- failure of consensus, [7.5.3](#), [7.7](#)
- false claims of compliance, [4.3.4](#)
- final approval *see* approval process
- foreword, national, [6.1.3](#)
- formal vote, [3.1.21](#)
  - see also* approval process
- format of standards, [6.1.1](#)
  
- government representation on committees, [7.3](#)
- guide, characteristics, [9.4.1](#)
  
- IEC, [4.2.1](#), [5.1](#), [5.3](#), [5.5.1](#), [6.1.2](#)
- inclusion, [4.1.2](#), [7.2](#), [9.1](#)
- informative
  - definition, [3.1.22](#)
  - status of national forewords/annexes, [6.1.3](#)
- intellectual property rights, [3.1.24](#), [8.7.1](#), [9.5](#)
  - see also* content IPR, product/process IPR
- International Electrotechnical Commission *see* IEC

- international standardization, [3.1.23](#), [4.2.1](#), [5.3](#), [5.5](#), [5.6](#), [7.5.3](#), [7.5.4](#), [8.3](#), [9.5.1](#)  
*see also* IEC, ISO
- International Standards Organization *see* ISO
- interpretation  
of legislation, [9.2](#)  
of standards, [4.3.2](#)
- IPR *see* intellectual property rights
- ISO, [4.2.1](#), [5.1](#), [5.3](#), [5.5.1](#), [6.1.2](#)
- ISO/IEC Directives, [4.3.3.1](#), [5.3](#), [5.5](#), [9.3.1](#)
- language, [9.3.2](#)
- legal considerations, Foreword, [4.1.5](#), [5.6](#), [7.5.3](#), [7.8](#), [7.9](#), [9.2](#)  
*see also* regulation, regulatory instruments
- liaison  
between committee members and nomination organizations, [7.3](#)  
between committees members and third parties owning IPR, [9.5.4.4](#)  
between committees, [7.11](#)
- maintenance of standards, [4.3.2](#), [6.2](#)
- management systems standard, characteristics, [9.4.1](#)
- market demand, [5.2](#)
- market relevance, [6.2.2](#)
- market surveillance, [4.3.4](#)
- meetings, [7.5.2](#)  
attendance, [7.2](#), [8.3](#), [8.7.1](#)  
chair's responsibilities, [8.5.1](#), [8.5.2](#)  
committee manager's responsibilities, [8.6](#)  
confidentiality, [7.9](#)  
international/European, [7.5.4](#)  
minutes, [7.6](#), [7.9](#)  
quorum, [7.5.2](#), [8.7.1](#)
- member bodies, [5.5.1](#), [5.6](#)
- membership *see* committee members
- Memorandum of Understanding, [4.2.1](#)
- method of specifying, characteristics, [9.4.1](#)
- method of test, characteristics, [9.4.1](#)
- minutes of meetings, [7.6](#), [7.9](#)
- mirror committees, [5.6](#), [6.1.3](#), [7.5.4](#)
- national annex, [6.1.3](#)
- national foreword, [6.1.3](#)
- national standard *see* British Standard of UK origin
- National Standards Body, [4.2](#), [5.3](#), [8.1](#), [9.4.2](#)
- national votes  
in the event of a dispute, [7.5.3](#)  
on draft international/European standards, [5.5.3](#), [5.5.4](#), [5.6](#)  
on new work, [5.2](#)
- new editions, [6.2.2](#)
- new work, [5.2](#)
- nominating organizations, [3.1.25](#), [4.5](#), [7.2](#), [7.3](#), [7.5.2](#)  
*see also* resourcing
- non-standards publications, [4.2.1](#), [9.4.2](#)
- non-voting committee members, [7.2](#)
- normative, definition, [3.1.26](#)
- normative references, [3.1.27](#), [Clause 2](#)
- NSB *see* National Standards Body
- objections, [7.5.3](#), [8.7.1](#)  
*see also* disputes
- panels, [3.1.28](#), [7.6](#), [7.10](#), [8.7.1](#)  
*see also* panel convenors, panel members, working groups
- panel convenors, [8.7.2](#)
- panel members, [3.1.29](#), [8.7.1](#)
- PAS, [4.2.1](#)
- patents, [9.5.1](#)
- policies *see* BSI policies
- principles  
application of standards, [4.3.1](#)  
claims of compliance and conformity, [4.3.3](#)  
committees, [7.1](#)

- consensus, [7.5.3](#)
- decision making, [7.5.1](#)
- development of standards, [5.1](#)
- drafting, [9.1](#)
- intellectual property rights, [9.5.1](#)
- maintenance, [6.2.1](#)
- publication, [6.1.1](#)
- standardization, [Clause 4](#)
- third-party conformity assessment, [4.3.3.2](#)
- privacy of meetings, [7.9](#)
- product/process IPR, [9.5.1](#), [9.5.4](#)
- proposal for new work, [5.2](#)
- provisions of a standard, [3.1.30](#), [9.1](#), [9.2](#), [9.4.1](#)
- public consultation *see* consultation, draft for public comment
- public policy, [4.1.2](#)
- public statements, [7.9](#)
- publication process, [6.1](#)
- publicly available committee documents, [4.4](#)
- Published Document, [4.2.1](#)
- publishing standards, [Clause 6](#)
- purpose of standardization, [4.1.2](#)
- qualities of a committee chair, [8.5.2](#)
- quorum
  - committee, [7.5.2](#)
  - panel, [8.7.1](#)
- readership, [9.3.2](#)
- recommendations, [9.4.1](#)
- regional standardization *see* European standardization
- regulation, [3.1.31](#), [4.1.2](#), [7.8](#)
  - see also* legal considerations
- regulatory instruments, [4.1.4](#)
- reliability of standards, [4.1.5](#)
- removal of committee members, [8.3](#), [8.5.3](#)
- requirements, [9.2](#), [9.4.1](#)
- resourcing
  - by BSI, [4.2.2](#), [4.3.4](#), [5.2](#), [5.7](#), [7.5.2](#)
  - by nominating organizations, [5.2](#), [5.6](#), [6.2.2](#), [7.3](#), [7.5.2](#), [7.5.4](#)
- responsibilities *see* roles and responsibilities
- responsibility
  - for application of a standard, [4.3.1](#)
  - for claims of compliance, [4.3.3.1](#)
  - for development of a standard, [4.2.1](#)
  - for interpreting a standard, [4.3.2](#)
  - for reviewing a standard, [6.2.2](#)
- restricted access to documents, [4.4](#)
- review of standards, [6.2.1](#), [6.2.2](#)
- revision of standards, [6.2.2](#)
- roles and responsibilities
  - BSI, [4.2.1](#), [7.1](#), [8.1](#)
  - BSI employees, [7.7](#), [8.2](#), [8.3](#)
  - committee chairs, [7.5.2](#), [7.5.3](#), [7.7](#), [8.3](#), [8.4](#), [8.5](#)
  - committee managers, [7.5.2](#), [7.5.3](#), [7.7](#), [8.3](#), [8.6](#), [8.7.3](#)
  - committee members, [8.3](#), [8.4](#), [9.5.2](#), [9.5.4.2](#)
  - delegations to international/European meetings, [7.5.4](#)
  - editorial project managers, [8.3](#), [8.7.3](#)
  - panel convenors, [8.3](#), [8.7.2](#)
  - panel members, [8.3](#), [8.7.1](#)
- Royal Charter, [4.2.1](#), [6.1.4](#)
- scope statement, [5.2](#), [9.3.3](#)
- secretaries *see* committee managers
- specification, characteristics, [9.4.1](#)
- spelling convention, Foreword
- SPSC *see* Standards Policy and Strategy Committee
- stakeholder categories, [7.3](#)
- standard, definition, [3.1.32](#)
- standardization
  - characteristics, [4.1.3](#)
  - context, [4.1.1](#)
  - definition, [3.1.33](#)

- principles, [Clause 4](#)
- purpose, [4.1.2](#)
- standard of UK origin *see* British Standard of UK origin
- standards development *see* development of standards
- Standards Policy and Strategy Committee, [3.1.34](#), [4.3.3.2](#), [7.1](#), [7.5.3](#), [8.5.3](#)
- status
  - of “British Standard”, [6.1.4](#), [6.2.3](#)
  - of BS 0, [4.1.6](#)
  - of national annexes/forewords, [6.1.3](#)
  - of standards generally, [4.1.4](#)
- statutory requirements *see* legal considerations
- subcommittees, [3.1.5.2](#), [5.5.2](#), [7.10](#)
  - see also* committees
- suspension of committee members, [8.3](#)
- technical authors, [7.10](#)
- technical committees, [3.1.5.1](#), [5.5.1](#), [7.10](#)
  - see also* committees
- Technical Report, [3.1.35](#), [4.2.1](#)
- Technical Specification, [3.1.36](#), [4.2.1](#)
- terms of reference, [7.1](#), [7.3](#)
- test method *see* method of test
- third party
  - conformity assessment, [4.3.3.2](#)
  - intellectual property rights, [8.7.1](#), [9.5.1](#), [9.5.2](#), [9.5.4](#)
- timescales
  - correction of errors, [6.2.1](#)
  - development of standards, [5.2](#), [8.7.2](#), [8.7.3](#)
  - disputes, [7.7](#)
  - draft for public comment, [5.8](#)
  - review of standards, [6.2.1](#)
  - terms served by chairs, [8.5.3](#)
- training, [8.1](#)
- transition period for withdrawn standards, [6.2.3](#)
- translation of standards, [9.3.2](#)
- transparency, [4.4](#), [7.1](#), [7.2](#), [7.5.1](#), [7.9](#)
- types of standard, [9.4](#)
- UK national standard *see* British Standard of UK origin
- UK mirror committee *see* mirror committees
- UK participation in international/European standardization, [5.3](#), [5.4](#), [5.5](#), [5.6](#), [6.1.2](#), [7.5.4](#)
- use of standards content, [9.5.3](#)
- users of standards
  - availability of IPR, [9.5.4.4](#)
  - implications of scope statement, [9.3.3](#)
  - interests represented, [7.2](#)
  - legal responsibilities, Foreword, [9.2](#)
  - responsibility for interpreting a standard, [4.3.2](#)
  - responsibility for selecting and applying a standard, [4.3.1](#)
- verifiability, [9.1](#), [9.4.1](#)
- vocabulary, characteristics, [9.4.1](#)
- voluntary status of standards, [4.1.4](#)
- vote, definition, [3.1.37](#)
  - see also* national votes
- withdrawn standards, [6.2.2](#), [6.2.3](#)
- working groups, [3.1.38](#), [5.5.2](#), [5.6](#), [7.5.4](#), [7.6](#)
  - see also* panels
- work item, [3.1.39](#), [5.2](#), [5.5.3](#), [9.5.4.3](#)
- work programme, [3.1.40](#), [4.2.2](#), [4.4](#), [5.2](#), [5.5.1](#), [7.1](#), [7.4](#), [9.3.3](#)
- World Trade Organization, [5.3](#), [5.8](#)



# British Standards Institution (BSI)

BSI is the national body responsible for preparing British Standards and other standards-related publications, information and services.

BSI is incorporated by Royal Charter. British Standards and other standardization products are published by BSI Standards Limited.

## About us

We bring together business, industry, government, consumers, innovators and others to shape their combined experience and expertise into standards-based solutions.

The knowledge embodied in our standards has been carefully assembled in a dependable format and refined through our open consultation process. Organizations of all sizes and across all sectors choose standards to help them achieve their goals.

## Information on standards

We can provide you with the knowledge that your organization needs to succeed. Find out more about British Standards by visiting our website at [bsigroup.com/standards](http://bsigroup.com/standards) or contacting our Customer Services team or Knowledge Centre.

## Buying standards

You can buy and download PDF versions of BSI publications, including British and adopted European and international standards, through our website at [bsigroup.com/shop](http://bsigroup.com/shop), where hard copies can also be purchased.

If you need international and foreign standards from other Standards Development Organizations, hard copies can be ordered from our Customer Services team.

## Copyright in BSI publications

All the content in BSI publications, including British Standards, is the property of and copyrighted by BSI or some person or entity that owns copyright in the information used (such as the international standardization bodies) and has formally licensed such information to BSI for commercial publication and use.

Save for the provisions below, you may not transfer, share or disseminate any portion of the standard to any other person. You may not adapt, distribute, commercially exploit or publicly display the standard or any portion thereof in any manner whatsoever without BSI's prior written consent.

## Storing and using standards

Standards purchased in soft copy format:

- A British Standard purchased in soft copy format is licensed to a sole named user for personal or internal company use only.
- The standard may be stored on more than one device provided that it is accessible by the sole named user only and that only one copy is accessed at any one time.
- A single paper copy may be printed for personal or internal company use only.

Standards purchased in hard copy format:

- A British Standard purchased in hard copy format is for personal or internal company use only.
- It may not be further reproduced – in any format – to create an additional copy. This includes scanning of the document.

If you need more than one copy of the document, or if you wish to share the document on an internal network, you can save money by choosing a subscription product (see 'Subscriptions').

## Reproducing extracts

For permission to reproduce content from BSI publications contact the BSI Copyright and Licensing team.

## Subscriptions

Our range of subscription services are designed to make using standards easier for you. For further information on our subscription products go to [bsigroup.com/subscriptions](http://bsigroup.com/subscriptions).

With **British Standards Online (BSOL)** you'll have instant access to over 55,000 British and adopted European and international standards from your desktop. It's available 24/7 and is refreshed daily so you'll always be up to date.

You can keep in touch with standards developments and receive substantial discounts on the purchase price of standards, both in single copy and subscription format, by becoming a **BSI Subscribing Member**.

**PLUS** is an updating service exclusive to BSI Subscribing Members. You will automatically receive the latest hard copy of your standards when they're revised or replaced.

To find out more about becoming a BSI Subscribing Member and the benefits of membership, please visit [bsigroup.com/shop](http://bsigroup.com/shop).

With a **Multi-User Network Licence (MUNL)** you are able to host standards publications on your intranet. Licences can cover as few or as many users as you wish. With updates supplied as soon as they're available, you can be sure your documentation is current. For further information, email [cservices@bsigroup.com](mailto:cservices@bsigroup.com).

## Revisions

Our British Standards and other publications are updated by amendment or revision.

We continually improve the quality of our products and services to benefit your business. If you find an inaccuracy or ambiguity within a British Standard or other BSI publication please inform the Knowledge Centre.

## Useful Contacts

### Customer Relations

**Tel:** +44 345 086 9001

**Email:** [cservices@bsigroup.com](mailto:cservices@bsigroup.com)

### Subscription Support

**Tel:** +44 345 086 9001

**Email:** [subscription.support@bsigroup.com](mailto:subscription.support@bsigroup.com)

### Knowledge Centre

**Tel:** +44 20 8996 7004

**Email:** [knowledgecentre@bsigroup.com](mailto:knowledgecentre@bsigroup.com)

### Copyright and Licensing

**Tel:** +44 20 8996 7070

**Email:** [copyright@bsigroup.com](mailto:copyright@bsigroup.com)

## BSI Group Headquarters

389 Chiswick High Road London W4 4AL UK